	Case 1:03-cv-12/44634/44ion 6/904/104/108/108/	914	
1	A Five bucks.	1	Q And subsequent to July 2004 the new foil products,
2	Q And who makes the ShaveMan?	2	foil cleaning center products came on the market,
3	A Gosh, I'm trying to think if I remember the name of	3	correct?
4	the company. It is not one of the three competitors	4	A That's correct.
5	listed on the sheet, but I don't know the name of it.	5	Q What would the current average retail price of
6	Q So it's not Rayovac?	6	Remington products be?
7	A No.	7	A I don't know without going and looking at the data.
8	Q Or Remington?	8	From NPD I don't know that off the top of my head.
9	A No.	9	Q Would you guess that it would be higher?
10	Q And what is the Titanium Turbo?	10	A I really I don't know because of many factors
11	A Titanium Turbo is a knock-off of a regular men's	11	affecting it, it could be higher, it could be lower.
12	shaver. It is not meant to be a travel shaver or a	12	Q Would the introduction of the two foil shaver cleaning
13	throw-away shaver like the ShaveMan, but it was	13	systems be a factor that would lead you to conclude it
14	introduced in North America with very low retails,	14	would be higher?
15	i.e., sub \$20.	15	A Not necessarily because there could be other there
16	Q And who makes the Titanium Turbo?	16	could be other factors that would conclude that would
17	A I don't know.	17	be driving it lower.
18	Q And neither the ShaveMan or the Titanium Turbo has a	18	Q But if that were the only new product introduced, for
19	cleaning system associated with it?	19	example, would you
20	A No, not to my knowledge.	20	A If that would be the only new product introduced,
21	Q And looking at page 9, what is that page?	21	naturally because the product has more features and
22	A It appears to be our average retail price per unit in	22	benefits than our other products, it's at a different
23	three different six-month windows, during three	23	price point than our other products in the marketplace
24	six-month windows.	24	and it's above the average, so by definition if that's
25	Q Can you explain why the pricing it looks like in	25	the only change, yes, the average retail would go up.
	122	1	
		1	124
1	January to July was at \$47.12, why for the same period	1	Q You mentioned the source of this information NPD.
1 2		1 2	i e e
	January to July was at \$47.12, why for the same period		Q You mentioned the source of this information NPD.
2	January to July was at \$47.12, why for the same period in 2003 it would have dropped to \$44.15?	2	Q You mentioned the source of this information NPD. What is NPD?
2	January to July was at \$47.12, why for the same period in 2003 it would have dropped to \$44.15? A I do not know why it would have dropped. I don't know	2	Q You mentioned the source of this information NPD. What is NPD? A Independent market research firm, National Panel
2 3 4	January to July was at \$47.12, why for the same period in 2003 it would have dropped to \$44.15? A I do not know why it would have dropped. I don't know specific business initiatives that are behind it. Obviously back to our earlier discussions, consumers were buying products of ours with lower average	2 3 4	Q You mentioned the source of this information NPD. What is NPD? A Independent market research firm, National Panel Data.
2 3 4 5	January to July was at \$47.12, why for the same period in 2003 it would have dropped to \$44.15? A I do not know why it would have dropped. I don't know specific business initiatives that are behind it. Obviously back to our earlier discussions, consumers were buying products of ours with lower average	2 3 4 5	Q You mentioned the source of this information NPD, What is NPD? A Independent market research firm, National Panel Data. Q Is National Panel Data somebody that Rayovac pays for
2 3 4 5 6	January to July was at \$47.12, why for the same period in 2003 it would have dropped to \$44.15? A I do not know why it would have dropped. I don't know specific business initiatives that are behind it. Obviously back to our earlier discussions, consumers were buying products of ours with lower average retails, but I don't know the specific business initiative behind that.	2 3 4 5 6	 Q You mentioned the source of this information NPD. What is NPD? A Independent market research firm, National Panel Data. Q Is National Panel Data somebody that Rayovac pays for this information? A Correct. Q Do you pay them to compile the information or do you
2 3 4 5 6 7	January to July was at \$47.12, why for the same period in 2003 it would have dropped to \$44.15? A I do not know why it would have dropped. I don't know specific business initiatives that are behind it. Obviously back to our earlier discussions, consumers were buying products of ours with lower average retails, but I don't know the specific business	2 3 4 5 6 7	 Q You mentioned the source of this information NPD. What is NPD? A Independent market research firm, National Panel Data. Q Is National Panel Data somebody that Rayovac pays for this information? A Correct. Q Do you pay them to compile the information or do you pay them for access to the information?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	January to July was at \$47.12, why for the same period in 2003 it would have dropped to \$44.15? A I do not know why it would have dropped. I don't know specific business initiatives that are behind it. Obviously back to our earlier discussions, consumers were buying products of ours with lower average retails, but I don't know the specific business initiative behind that. Q And then for the same period from January to July 2004 that price is back up at \$53.92. What would be the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q You mentioned the source of this information NPD. What is NPD? A Independent market research firm, National Panel Data. Q Is National Panel Data somebody that Rayovac pays for this information? A Correct. Q Do you pay them to compile the information or do you pay them for access to the information? A Probably both. They collect the data. It's panel
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	January to July was at \$47.12, why for the same period in 2003 it would have dropped to \$44.15? A I do not know why it would have dropped. I don't know specific business initiatives that are behind it. Obviously back to our earlier discussions, consumers were buying products of ours with lower average retails, but I don't know the specific business initiative behind that. Q And then for the same period from January to July 2004 that price is back up at \$53.92. What would be the cause of that jump?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 Q You mentioned the source of this information NPD. What is NPD? A Independent market research firm, National Panel Data. Q Is National Panel Data somebody that Rayovac pays for this information? A Correct. Q Do you pay them to compile the information or do you pay them for access to the information? A Probably both. They collect the data. It's panel data, so it's consumer survey data, and they would
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	January to July was at \$47.12, why for the same period in 2003 it would have dropped to \$44.15? A I do not know why it would have dropped. I don't know specific business initiatives that are behind it. Obviously back to our earlier discussions, consumers were buying products of ours with lower average retails, but I don't know the specific business initiative behind that. Q And then for the same period from January to July 2004 that price is back up at \$53.92. What would be the cause of that jump? A It's likely as we introduced new SKUs with more	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q You mentioned the source of this information NPD. What is NPD? A Independent market research firm, National Panel Data. Q Is National Panel Data somebody that Rayovac pays for this information? A Correct. Q Do you pay them to compile the information or do you pay them for access to the information? A Probably both. They collect the data. It's panel data, so it's consumer survey data, and they would ultimately house that. They would house it so they
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	January to July was at \$47.12, why for the same period in 2003 it would have dropped to \$44.15? A I do not know why it would have dropped. I don't know specific business initiatives that are behind it. Obviously back to our earlier discussions, consumers were buying products of ours with lower average retails, but I don't know the specific business initiative behind that. Q And then for the same period from January to July 2004 that price is back up at \$53.92. What would be the cause of that jump? A It's likely as we introduced new SKUs with more features and benefits for the consumers going after	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q You mentioned the source of this information NPD. What is NPD? A Independent market research firm, National Panel Data. Q Is National Panel Data somebody that Rayovac pays for this information? A Correct. Q Do you pay them to compile the information or do you pay them for access to the information? A Probably both. They collect the data. It's panel data, so it's consumer survey data, and they would ultimately house that. They would house it so they would own the data, but then also they obviously have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	January to July was at \$47.12, why for the same period in 2003 it would have dropped to \$44.15? A I do not know why it would have dropped. I don't know specific business initiatives that are behind it. Obviously back to our earlier discussions, consumers were buying products of ours with lower average retails, but I don't know the specific business initiative behind that. Q And then for the same period from January to July 2004 that price is back up at \$53.92. What would be the cause of that jump? A It's likely as we introduced new SKUs with more features and benefits for the consumers going after that good, better, best category, we offered a shaver	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q You mentioned the source of this information NPD. What is NPD? A Independent market research firm, National Panel Data. Q Is National Panel Data somebody that Rayovac pays for this information? A Correct. Q Do you pay them to compile the information or do you pay them for access to the information? A Probably both. They collect the data. It's panel data, so it's consumer survey data, and they would ultimately house that. They would house it so they would own the data, but then also they obviously have to compile it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	January to July was at \$47.12, why for the same period in 2003 it would have dropped to \$44.15? A I do not know why it would have dropped. I don't know specific business initiatives that are behind it. Obviously back to our earlier discussions, consumers were buying products of ours with lower average retails, but I don't know the specific business initiative behind that. Q And then for the same period from January to July 2004 that price is back up at \$53.92. What would be the cause of that jump? A It's likely as we introduced new SKUs with more features and benefits for the consumers going after that good, better, best category, we offered a shaver with more features and benefits to the consumer and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q You mentioned the source of this information NPD. What is NPD? A Independent market research firm, National Panel Data. Q Is National Panel Data somebody that Rayovac pays for this information? A Correct. Q Do you pay them to compile the information or do you pay them for access to the information? A Probably both. They collect the data. It's panel data, so it's consumer survey data, and they would ultimately house that. They would house it so they would own the data, but then also they obviously have to compile it. Q And do they compile data on competitors as well?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 100 111 122 133 144 155 166	January to July was at \$47.12, why for the same period in 2003 it would have dropped to \$44.15? A I do not know why it would have dropped. I don't know specific business initiatives that are behind it. Obviously back to our earlier discussions, consumers were buying products of ours with lower average retails, but I don't know the specific business initiative behind that. Q And then for the same period from January to July 2004 that price is back up at \$53.92. What would be the cause of that jump? A It's likely as we introduced new SKUs with more features and benefits for the consumers going after that good, better, best category, we offered a shaver with more features and benefits to the consumer and subsequently hit a price point that we previously were	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q You mentioned the source of this information NPD. What is NPD? A Independent market research firm, National Panel Data. Q Is National Panel Data somebody that Rayovac pays for this information? A Correct. Q Do you pay them to compile the information or do you pay them for access to the information? A Probably both. They collect the data. It's panel data, so it's consumer survey data, and they would ultimately house that. They would house it so they would own the data, but then also they obviously have to compile it. Q And do they compile data on competitors as well? A Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	January to July was at \$47.12, why for the same period in 2003 it would have dropped to \$44.15? A I do not know why it would have dropped. I don't know specific business initiatives that are behind it. Obviously back to our earlier discussions, consumers were buying products of ours with lower average retails, but I don't know the specific business initiative behind that. Q And then for the same period from January to July 2004 that price is back up at \$53.92. What would be the cause of that jump? A It's likely as we introduced new SKUs with more features and benefits for the consumers going after that good, better, best category, we offered a shaver with more features and benefits to the consumer and subsequently hit a price point that we previously were not playing in.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q You mentioned the source of this information NPD. What is NPD? A Independent market research firm, National Panel Data. Q Is National Panel Data somebody that Rayovac pays for this information? A Correct. Q Do you pay them to compile the information or do you pay them for access to the information? A Probably both. They collect the data. It's panel data, so it's consumer survey data, and they would ultimately house that. They would house it so they would own the data, but then also they obviously have to compile it. Q And do they compile data on competitors as well? A Yes. Q And do you have access to that data as well?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	January to July was at \$47.12, why for the same period in 2003 it would have dropped to \$44.15? A I do not know why it would have dropped. I don't know specific business initiatives that are behind it. Obviously back to our earlier discussions, consumers were buying products of ours with lower average retails, but I don't know the specific business initiative behind that. Q And then for the same period from January to July 2004 that price is back up at \$53.92. What would be the cause of that jump? A It's likely as we introduced new SKUs with more features and benefits for the consumers going after that good, better, best category, we offered a shaver with more features and benefits to the consumer and subsequently hit a price point that we previously were not playing in. Q And part of that introduction of new product, was that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q You mentioned the source of this information NPD. What is NPD? A Independent market research firm, National Panel Data. Q Is National Panel Data somebody that Rayovac pays for this information? A Correct. Q Do you pay them to compile the information or do you pay them for access to the information? A Probably both. They collect the data. It's panel data, so it's consumer survey data, and they would ultimately house that. They would house it so they would own the data, but then also they obviously have to compile it. Q And do they compile data on competitors as well? A Yes. Q And do you have access to that data as well? A I believe so.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	January to July was at \$47.12, why for the same period in 2003 it would have dropped to \$44.15? A I do not know why it would have dropped. I don't know specific business initiatives that are behind it. Obviously back to our earlier discussions, consumers were buying products of ours with lower average retails, but I don't know the specific business initiative behind that. Q And then for the same period from January to July 2004 that price is back up at \$53.92. What would be the cause of that jump? A It's likely as we introduced new SKUs with more features and benefits for the consumers going after that good, better, best category, we offered a shaver with more features and benefits to the consumer and subsequently hit a price point that we previously were not playing in. Q And part of that introduction of new product, was that the cleaning center products?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q You mentioned the source of this information NPD. What is NPD? A Independent market research firm, National Panel Data. Q Is National Panel Data somebody that Rayovac pays for this information? A Correct. Q Do you pay them to compile the information or do you pay them for access to the information? A Probably both. They collect the data. It's panel data, so it's consumer survey data, and they would ultimately house that. They would house it so they would own the data, but then also they obviously have to compile it. Q And do they compile data on competitors as well? A Yes. Q And do you have access to that data as well? A I believe so. Q In what form does NPD produce this data to Rayovac?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	January to July was at \$47.12, why for the same period in 2003 it would have dropped to \$44.15? A I do not know why it would have dropped. I don't know specific business initiatives that are behind it. Obviously back to our earlier discussions, consumers were buying products of ours with lower average retails, but I don't know the specific business initiative behind that. Q And then for the same period from January to July 2004 that price is back up at \$53.92. What would be the cause of that jump? A It's likely as we introduced new SKUs with more features and benefits for the consumers going after that good, better, best category, we offered a shaver with more features and benefits to the consumer and subsequently hit a price point that we previously were not playing in. Q And part of that introduction of new product, was that the cleaning center products? A Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q You mentioned the source of this information NPD. What is NPD? A Independent market research firm, National Panel Data. Q Is National Panel Data somebody that Rayovac pays for this information? A Correct. Q Do you pay them to compile the information or do you pay them for access to the information? A Probably both. They collect the data. It's panel data, so it's consumer survey data, and they would ultimately house that. They would house it so they would own the data, but then also they obviously have to compile it. Q And do they compile data on competitors as well? A Yes. Q And do you have access to that data as well? A I believe so. Q In what form does NPD produce this data to Rayovac? A I don't know the specific form that they produce the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	January to July was at \$47.12, why for the same period in 2003 it would have dropped to \$44.15? A I do not know why it would have dropped. I don't know specific business initiatives that are behind it. Obviously back to our earlier discussions, consumers were buying products of ours with lower average retails, but I don't know the specific business initiative behind that. Q And then for the same period from January to July 2004 that price is back up at \$53.92. What would be the cause of that jump? A It's likely as we introduced new SKUs with more features and benefits for the consumers going after that good, better, best category, we offered a shaver with more features and benefits to the consumer and subsequently hit a price point that we previously were not playing in. Q And part of that introduction of new product, was that the cleaning center products? A Yes. Q So the R-9500 was introduced in between those two	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q You mentioned the source of this information NPD. What is NPD? A Independent market research firm, National Panel Data. Q Is National Panel Data somebody that Rayovac pays for this information? A Correct. Q Do you pay them to compile the information or do you pay them for access to the information? A Probably both. They collect the data. It's panel data, so it's consumer survey data, and they would ultimately house that. They would house it so they would own the data, but then also they obviously have to compile it. Q And do they compile data on competitors as well? A Yes. Q And do you have access to that data as well? A I believe so. Q In what form does NPD produce this data to Rayovac? A I don't know the specific form that they produce the data to us.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	January to July was at \$47.12, why for the same period in 2003 it would have dropped to \$44.15? A I do not know why it would have dropped. I don't know specific business initiatives that are behind it. Obviously back to our earlier discussions, consumers were buying products of ours with lower average retails, but I don't know the specific business initiative behind that. Q And then for the same period from January to July 2004 that price is back up at \$53.92. What would be the cause of that jump? A It's likely as we introduced new SKUs with more features and benefits for the consumers going after that good, better, best category, we offered a shaver with more features and benefits to the consumer and subsequently hit a price point that we previously were not playing in. Q And part of that introduction of new product, was that the cleaning center products? A Yes. Q So the R-9500 was introduced in between those two periods, is that correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q You mentioned the source of this information NPD. What is NPD? A Independent market research firm, National Panel Data. Q Is National Panel Data somebody that Rayovac pays for this information? A Correct. Q Do you pay them to compile the information or do you pay them for access to the information? A Probably both. They collect the data. It's panel data, so it's consumer survey data, and they would ultimately house that. They would house it so they would own the data, but then also they obviously have to compile it. Q And do they compile data on competitors as well? A Yes. Q And do you have access to that data as well? A I believe so. Q In what form does NPD produce this data to Rayovac? A I don't know the specific form that they produce the data to us. Q Is it a document?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 21	January to July was at \$47.12, why for the same period in 2003 it would have dropped to \$44.15? A I do not know why it would have dropped. I don't know specific business initiatives that are behind it. Obviously back to our earlier discussions, consumers were buying products of ours with lower average retails, but I don't know the specific business initiative behind that. Q And then for the same period from January to July 2004 that price is back up at \$53.92. What would be the cause of that jump? A It's likely as we introduced new SKUs with more features and benefits for the consumers going after that good, better, best category, we offered a shaver with more features and benefits to the consumer and subsequently hit a price point that we previously were not playing in. Q And part of that introduction of new product, was that the cleaning center products? A Yes. Q So the R-9500 was introduced in between those two periods, is that correct? A No. In between which two periods? I'm sorry.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q You mentioned the source of this information NPD. What is NPD? A Independent market research firm, National Panel Data. Q Is National Panel Data somebody that Rayovac pays for this information? A Correct. Q Do you pay them to compile the information or do you pay them for access to the information? A Probably both. They collect the data. It's panel data, so it's consumer survey data, and they would ultimately house that. They would house it so they would own the data, but then also they obviously have to compile it. Q And do they compile data on competitors as well? A Yes. Q And do you have access to that data as well? A I believe so. Q In what form does NPD produce this data to Rayovac? A I don't know the specific form that they produce the data to us. Q Is it a document? A I don't know if they give us a report or if we get it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 21	January to July was at \$47.12, why for the same period in 2003 it would have dropped to \$44.15? A I do not know why it would have dropped. I don't know specific business initiatives that are behind it. Obviously back to our earlier discussions, consumers were buying products of ours with lower average retails, but I don't know the specific business initiative behind that. Q And then for the same period from January to July 2004 that price is back up at \$53.92. What would be the cause of that jump? A It's likely as we introduced new SKUs with more features and benefits for the consumers going after that good, better, best category, we offered a shaver with more features and benefits to the consumer and subsequently hit a price point that we previously were not playing in. Q And part of that introduction of new product, was that the cleaning center products? A Yes. Q So the R-9500 was introduced in between those two periods, is that correct? A No. In between which two periods? I'm sorry.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q You mentioned the source of this information NPD. What is NPD? A Independent market research firm, National Panel Data. Q Is National Panel Data somebody that Rayovac pays for this information? A Correct. Q Do you pay them to compile the information or do you pay them for access to the information? A Probably both. They collect the data. It's panel data, so it's consumer survey data, and they would ultimately house that. They would house it so they would own the data, but then also they obviously have to compile it. Q And do they compile data on competitors as well? A Yes. Q And do you have access to that data as well? A I believe so. Q In what form does NPD produce this data to Rayovac? A I don't know the specific form that they produce the data to us. Q Is it a document? A I don't know if they give us a report or if we get it on line, an electronic version of it.

A Correct. 1 2

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

A Yes.

3 that price?

4 A It's not legal for us to dictate retails.

5 Q If I walked into Target today, do you know how much I 6 would pay for the shaver?

7 8 shaver in Target today.

9 Q Is there a requirement that the suggested retail price 10 is something it will be within a certain range of the

suggested price?

12 A At retail? Q Yes.

11

13

25

14 A Again it's illegal for us to dictate retails. That

15 would be a form of dictating a retail.

16 Q Do you know what is the suggested price for the

17 MS-5500?

18 A A hundred bucks.

19 Q And the 5700?

20 A I believe it's \$119.99.

Q And the WDF-7000? 21

22 A I believe it's \$80.

23 Q Do the suggested retail prices change?

24 A Do the suggested retail prices change, they could,

they haven't changed on these items.

15 A It's cordless, worldwide voltage, LCD versus LED

16 readout, triple head fully independent shaver,

17 naturally it's got the cleaning system in there, so

18 that's a feature that would have to factor in.

19 titanium, battery life, you know, the quality of the

20 battery and the quality of the components going into

21 the shaver, the overall shaver quality, you know, of

22 convenience and closeness and comfort.

23 Q Anything else?

A Those would be the main drivers. I mean obviously 24 25

putting it all together, those are the features that

131 would say, okay, what retail can this thing bear out Q And what are those products? 1 2 2 in the marketplace. A This form lists those competitive products as Braun 3 Q And how is it determined what retail will bear out in 3 Syncro foil cleaning and charging and one Flex the marketplace, what factors go into that 4 Integral under \$100. determination? 5 Q It also mentions external research with inside product 5 A The features and benefits on the shaver and our past 6 development. What is that? 6 7 7 experience with existing SKUs. A Can you refer to where --Q is there market research performed in advance of 8 Q I'm sorry, under No. 3, consumer needs/benefits. 9 pricina? A At times when we launch or look to launch a new 10 A Sometimes, yes. In terms of formal market research 10 product, we'll do concept testing with independent 11 market research firms to find out the demand, if you 11 you mean? 12 12 Q Or informal. will, for a given item, and so that's what that would A Sometimes, yes, I've got to believe at some level or 13 be from. It would be an example of that. 13 14 another informal would be performed every time. It 14 Q Have you seen the inside product development report? would be foolish to launch a product and not have any 15 A Not that I'm aware of. 15 idea where it's going to land. 16 Q Was it provided to counsel? 16 17 A I wouldn't have been in possession of it, so if it was 17 Q What do you mean by informal market research? 18 18 A Understanding what SKUs we offer, but what SKUs are in provided to counsel, it would have been by somebody 19 the retail marketplace at what price points, what 19 other than myself. sorts of features and benefits those SKUs might have. Q Who would that have been? 20 20 21 Q In looking at the marketplace to set a price for the 21 A It would have been marketing management, brand 22 R-9500, what other SKUs in the marketplace were 22 management, or potentially like a research and 23 23 development person like a Yuri. considered? A I don't know the exact SKUs that would have been used 24 Q Let's go back to Exhibit 25 for a moment. 24 25 25 A Okay. to set that price. 130 132 Q The page numbered R 13796, it's the page about the 1 Q Without knowing the SKUs, is there a category of 1 2 products that would have been considered? A Certainly it would be products at the higher price 3 A Okay. 3 4 point and not necessarily unique to a given 4 MR. UELAND: I'm sorry, what page 5 5 competitor. are we on? 6 Q What products were considered the competitors or MS. WOLF: Exhibit 25 version of 6 7 7 potential competitors for the R-9500? the marketing plan. 8 8 A I don't know. Certainly Norelco's higher end SKUs THE WITNESS: 13796. 9 would be considered a competitor to it. Our existing 9 Q It talks about a rotary shaver cleaning system down to SKUs would be considered a competitor to it because of 10 the critical \$99 retail price point. Why is the \$99 10 11 the fact that they are all rotary systems. 11 price point considered critical? Q What about Braun's Clean and Charge? 12 A In general in the retail market there are certain key 12 A I don't know that it was or I don't know that it was 13 price points that individuals will pay, and \$99 is not 13 14 14 not. a unique critical price point unique to the shaving 15 (Exhibit 36 is marked for identification) category, it's a critical price point in the 15 16 Q Would you take a minute and look at that. 16 marketplace on a variety of goods. 17 (Witness examining document) 17 Q Was the original idea to create a 9400 specifically to 18 hit this price point? 18 A Okay. 19 Q What is this form? 19 A I believe that to be the original idea, although, I 20 A This form is a form that Remington used to move 20 mean, short of talking to the exact individual who had 21 forward with the project. 21 the idea, there may have been something else driving Q Does this form give you any indication of what ٦٧| 22 it I guess. products would have been considered or were considered 23 Q Who was the individual who had the idea? 24 competitor products for the R-9500? 24 A I don't know. 25 A Yes. Q Was there someone at -- I know the project has not

23

24

25

the rotary --

products in the marketplace were considered?

A From the face of this document, this one talks about

okay, today we set the price on the MS-5500.

24

25

MS-5500?

Q And did you participate in setting the price on the

i	Case 1:03-cv-1 2epesicion of ALAN 50] [2	PEPFiled 10 7/12/2605 Page 6 of 30
1	Q Hold on actually.	1	name is Peter, but I do not know his last name, and I
2	(Counsel examining documents)	2	don't believe he would have been considered a
3	MS. WENDLANDT: I'm sorry, you were	3	marketing manager.
4	referring to Exhibit 36 before?	4	Q Would a marketing manager be involved in setting the
5	THE WITNESS: Correct, and that	5	pricing on product?
6	refers to the rotary.	6	A Yes.
7	(Exhibit 37 is marked for identification)	7	Q So presumably if Peter Katz was the marketing manager
8	MR. UELAND: While the witness is	8	on this product, he also would have been involved?
9	reviewing the document, can we go off the record	9	A Presumably.
10	for a second?	10	Q Now, after the merger who at Rayovac would have been
11	MS. WOLF: Sure.	11	involved in finalizing the price decision on the 5700?
12	MR. UELAND: 2:10.	12	A Sean Martin.
13	(A short recess is taken)	13	Q Go back to Exhibit 25, which is the men's shaving
14	MR. UELAND: On 2:16.	14	marketing plan. I want to look at R 13769. The title
15	Q Just going back to one moment ago when we were talking	15	of that page talks about Remington being
16	about evaluating the possibility of changing the price	16	underdeveloped within the premium segment. What does
7	of the R-9500 in response to Norelco's introduction of	17	that mean?
8	a cleaning system, is Rayovac contemplating raising or	18	A Going back to the sheet you gave me earlier today,
9	lowering the price based on the entry into the market?	19	Exhibit no, that's not it. There was a sheet you
0	A Lowering.	20	had given me earlier today which has good, better,
1	Q If you could look at Exhibit 37, does this help you	21	best on it.
2	with what products were considered and looked at with	22	Q Twenty-six.
3	regard to the entry, the pricing point of the 5500 and	23	
4	the 5700?	24	
5	A Yes.	25	A I thought we didn't use 26, didn't it turn into 27?
1	Q And what were those products?	1	Q Yeah, 26 or 27, both.
2	A It lists some Braun products and it also mentions some	2	A In any case, OPP, good, better, best, it's that type
3	Norelco products.	3	of a segmentation.
4	Q And did you participate in the pricing decision for	4	Q And premium coordinates to best?
5	the MS-5700?	5	A Premium and best would have similar meanings
3	A No.	6	certainly, yes.
7	Q And are you aware who did participate in the pricing	7	Q What defines the premium segment?
3	for the MS-5700?	8	A As it's shown on this chart here, they chose to define
9	A No. Again, this was done prior to acquisition, so I	9	the premium segment as price points above \$80.
o	could speculate the individuals in the Remington	10	·
1	organization that may have been involved in this, but	11	segment?
2	this dates back to substantially before we bought the	12	A It depends.
3	company.	13	•
4	Q And who were those individuals at Remington who were	14	within the premium segment, would that be an
5	involved?	15	indication that Remington was looking to develop the
6	A If I was to speculate, I would speculate Jim Doyle was	16	premium segment?
7	probably involved and I don't know if a Jay Lester was	17	A It conveys a message that there appears to be an
8	part of their organization during this time frame or	18	opportunity for Remington in the premium segment.
Į	not, but those are the two folks I'm familiar with	19	Q What kind of opportunity?
91	that were directly working with men's shaving prior to	20	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
- 1			
0		21	underdeveloped in the premium cogmont
0	our acquisition.	21	underdeveloped in the premium segment. O Do you know why that is, why is that?
9 0 1 2 3	our acquisition. Q I see a name on here, Peter Katz. Who is Peter Katz?	22	Q Do you know why that is, why is that?
0	our acquisition.	- 1	

147 1 points with the different features and benefits. Q And how do you know that? Who has told you? 2 2 Q Is it fair to say then that Remington has A It's part of these types of communications. 3 traditionally struggled in the premium segment? (Indicating) 4 MR. UELAND: Objection, vague as to 4 Q And that would be a management level decision? 5 what you mean by struggle. 5 A it would be a brand management decision that would set 6 A As we look at the premium segment, we have done better 6 the initiatives based on what opportunities appear to 7 in other segments versus how well we have done in the 7 exist in the marketplace. 8 premium segment. 8 Q If you would turn now to 13813 in the same packet and 9 Q And is that out performance in other segments by 9 take a minute and look that over. 10 design or by accident? 10 MR. UELAND: I just invite the MR. UELAND: I missed a word in 11 11 witness to review as much of the document as you 12 there. I just didn't hear you. 12 feel comfortable. Pointing out individual pages 13 Q is that performance in the premium segment - or under 13 in a document that's over 200 pages long, you 14 performance in the premium seament -14 know, it is what it is. 15 MS. WOLF: I'm sorry. Could you 15 MS. WOLF: If he has trouble 16 read it back, please. 16 answering the question, he can certainly take the 17 17 (Reporter reads back last question) time to review what he needs to. Q Do you understand the question? 18 18 MR. UELAND: That's all I said. 19 A No. 19 Q There is a section of the presentation here called 20 Q You said traditionally Rayovac has done better in 20 risks and opportunities. On the risk side there is a 21 other segments than it has in the premium segment. 21 list of things included. Who put together this 22 22 Was that a conscious decision by Rayovac to pursue section of the presentation? You mentioned it was a 23 other segments more aggressively? 23 collaborative effort. 24 A As we develop our products, we continue to add 24 A Being that this is not my specific presentation, I 25 features and benefits to them that allow you to be in 25 would speculate Sean Martin put it together. 146 148 1 the different price categories, and historically the 1 Q Sean put together this list. One of the risks listed products that we have developed were developed to fit 2 2 is the Braun cleaning base patent to the tune of 3 voids in these categories. 3 \$8 million. What is the source of that number? 4 Q When you say these categories, which categories? 4 MR. UELAND: Objection, Liust 5 A The middle categories where we perform well, the \$20 5 caution the witness that to the extent that that 6 to \$80 range. 6 number or any of the basis behind it comes from 7 Q So is Remington a new player in the premium segment or 7 communications with an attorney, I instruct you Rayovac a new player in the premium segment? 8 8 not to answer on the basis of privilege. 9 A What do you mean by new? 9 A I don't know the basis for where this came from. 10 Q Relative to the \$20 to \$80 range, is that a targeted 10 Q Why would risk related to the Braun cleaning base 11 area of marketing? 11 patent be included in a marketing plan? 12 MR. UELAND: That's a different 12 A If it's a marketing plan to be reviewed with senior 13 question I guess. I don't understand what you're 13 marketing management, you would want all your cards on 14 asking. Answer it if you can, but I'm confused. 14 the table, the risks and opportunities that exist A I'm confused as well. Are we a different player --15 15 relative to your business. Being that there is an 16 Q is pursuit of a premium segment a new initiative for 16 active lawsuit by Braun against Remington, it 17 Rayovac within the last -- since 2003? 17 certainly is a risk. 18 A I don't know that it was - I know we are pursuing it 18 Q Would it impact marketing? 19 now. There is opportunity there certainly, and so we 19 A I don't know what you mean by would it impact 20 20 are pursuing that now. Prior to then I don't know marketing. 21 that they were necessarily aggressively or not 21 Q Would a litigation impact the way a product was aggressively pursuing it. 22 marketed by sales representatives or by Rayovac as a Q Has there been a formal directive to more actively 23 company? 24 pursue the premium market? 24 A Not that I'm aware of unless there would be specific 25 A Yes, we are actively pursuing the premium market. 25 legal - I can't think of the word I'm looking for:

3 Q Who would be responsible for informing the sales 4 5 A I guess our sales operations person. 6 Q And who is that? 7 A Kent Klagos. 8 Q Kent Klagos? 9 A Right. 10 Q Have there been situations where Mr. Klagos has 11 informed the sales force of legal actions pending 12 against? A Not that I'm aware of. 13 Q What about informing them of legal actions brought by 14 15 Rayovac? 16 A Brought by Rayovac? 17 Q Against competitor products.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. UELAND: Ever? Are you asking ever?

A I mean ever against competitive products, I mean --Q In the time that you have worked at Rayovac, are you

A I don't know how Kent would have communicated to the sales force, but there has been times in the past where competitors have pursued us and we have pursued

this when I'm working on a slide, for example, and 17 I'll write work in progress on it and just put it 18 across there. I don't know what FPO stands for.

19 Q Do you know what it means in this presentation?

A No, I don't. I do know that it is in the section called the appendix because the pages we were previously on were the end of the regular presentation, so consequently these slides may or may not have ever been used at the end of this stack. It's past the section of backup slides.

20

21

22

23

24

155 1 Q And are backup slides generally shown? 1 slide presentation? A Generally not shown as a rule. 2 A It's a power point presentation and, you know, the (Exhibit 38 is marked for identification) 3 latest sales meetings I have been at they did not hand -4 Q Can you take a moment and just look at that document. 4 out hard copy three-ring binders. In the past I have 5 5 (Witness examining document) been to sales meetings where you show up and when you 6 A Okay. 6 go home, you go home with a stack of three-ring 7 Q This is a document entitled Men's Shaving National 7 binders, but I don't recall going to a sales meeting 8 Sales Meeting and is dated November 2nd, 2004. What 8 where we have done that in the last year. 9 is the purpose of this document? 9 Q When was the last time you remember getting a pile or 10 A This would be a presentation where we communicate the 10 stack of binders? 11 marketing plan to our sales force or portions of our 11 A Probably February of '04. 12 sales force. 12 Q And what was included in that binder? 13 Q Is this something that happens every November? 13 A There were actually two binders, and one was on the 14 A Different times throughout the year and different 14 Rayovac brands and the other was on the Remington 15 times we have various levels of sales meetings. 15 brands, and at the time it was right as the companies 16 Q What are the different levels of sales meetings? 16 were merging together, so consequently we were a mass 17 A For example, at times we may have a sales meeting that 17 information download, if you will, sell sheets and 18 just basically includes the sales force and the 18 product familiarity, what's the category look like and 19 marketing group is coming in and presenting to senior 19 so on and so on and so on. 20 sales force, for example, division vice president and 20 Q Would a presentation such as this with this type of 21 above. Sometimes it may be just certain segments of 21 information have been included? 22 A I'm not sure the whole presentation would have been. the sales force that they're working with. Other 22 23 times, like we did this past February, we go out to an 23 Certain key areas out of here that an individual 24 off-site location and it will be a bigger 24 wanted to highlight certainly would have been part of 25 celebration -- or not celebration, but a bigger 25 it, but to take this entire presentation and slam it 154 156 1 gathering of people that includes not only the sales in there, that wasn't necessarily the intent of the 2 force but the larger population I described earlier 2 binders either. 3 of, you know, sales force, sales management, business 3 Q I have seen three documents that look reasonably 4 analysts, marketing people and so on, marketing folks. similar and have a lot of overlapping information. 5 Q And who would have made this document? 5 What is the distinction between a national sales A Again collaborative effort probably largely led by 6 6 meeting presentation, a marketing plan and a business 7 7 Sean Martin. plan? 8 Q And Sean would have all similar presentations from the 8 A A national sales meeting presentation is geared to 9 communicate information to your sales force to get sales meetings for the past two years since 2003? 9 10 A Since Sean has been involved with it, he would have 10 them at the very basic level to tactically go out and 11 them, he would have access to all of them, yes. 11 execute. A marketing plan might get into some more of 12 Q How long has Sean been involved? 12 the reasons and the drivers behind our strategies and 13 A I don't know. Certainly it was after the acquisition 13 have some tactical information in it as well but also. 14 of Remington when he started to get involved, at least 14 you know, start at a higher level of building up a 15 the last year, but we've only owned the company for a 15 strategy. And what was the other question, a business 16 year and a half, so somewhere in that six-month window 16 review? : 17 17 Q Yeah. 18 Q When this presentation is made at the national sales 18 A A business review is meant to be more so with senior 19 meeting, is there a handout given to the sales 19 management to say here is where we have been and here 20 representatives? 20 is where we're going and here is how we're performing 21 A Generally not anymore. We generally don't give out 21 or have performed versus our financial plan and so on.

22

23

24

25

(Exhibit 39 is marked for identification)

(Witness examining document)

Q Take a moment and just look that over.

A Obviously a quite lengthy document.

hard copy samples or hard copy presentations because

the sales force screams about having to carry them

Q So it would be a power point type of presentation or

24

home.

A You could defend against aggressive pricing action by a variety of different methods. You could introduce consumer promotions, create more excitement to the category, put more money behind advertising. You could meet them straight on with reducing price on our existing SKU or you could introduce other SKUs that match up to those new price points.

Q is it common practice in the industry to engage in all of those methods you just mentioned, promotions, increased advertising, meeting the pricing,

Q You can answer the question.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

A I would suspect the industry would use all of those tools at the appropriate times.

19 Q And does Rayovac use those tools?

20 A Again at the appropriate times.

21 Q In the time that you have been with Rayovac, has 22 Rayovac ever modified its pricing to compete with 23 another product in the men's shaving category?

24 A Have we ever modified our pricing to compete with 25 another product in the men's shaving category? We 16 Q And why was that done?

17 A Working with the buyer on an overall category strategy 18 to develop a program with the buyer that made sense 19 for our products and their category and their 20 consumers. It was one component of our overall 21 program as a supplier of Remington products.

22 Q Has Rayovac ever modified its pricing specifically to 23 compete with Braun on men's shaving products?

24 A Not that I'm aware of,

Q And you would be aware if it had happened within the

163 I'm trying to recall when we shipped that if we 1 last, since, say, 2003? 1 A Certainly if it had happened on a large scale basis, I 2 actually individually pack it in the package or if it 2 would more than likely be aware of that. If it 3 is individually placed in the unit for the first time, ì but ongoing when you change the fluid, the filter is a 4 4 happened at an account level, say, for example, a 5 separate part of the cleaning system that needs to be 5 competitor goes on rollback and we're out there at a taken out and discarded individually. 6 \$5 price premium, we might negotiate and try and get a 6 Q And the cleaning system isn't loaded into the cleaning 7 7 similar rollback just to maintain a price parity. 8 Examples like that, I wouldn't work on every one of 8 basin - I'm sorry, the cleaning fluid is not loaded 9 into the basin? 9 those examples certainly. 10 A The cleaning fluid comes in a sealed bottle separate 10 Q Who would? and apart from the cleaning base itself, and in order 11 11 A The individual business analyst responsible for the 12 for the unit to work, the consumer has to open up the account would work with the sales team. 12 13 bottle, tear off the safety seal and pour the fluid Q Would Sean Martin be aware of that? 13 into the cleaning system. At that time they've got a 14 A Depending upon the size of the account, yes, he 14 would. For smaller accounts, no, but for some of our 15 bottle that's empty to be discarded. It's not 15 integral at all to the system. 16 16 larger customers, Sean is supposed to be brought in to 17 Q Can you buy the shaver without the cleaning basin? the channel, brought into the loop if the channels are 17 18 MR. UELAND: Asked and answered. 18 followed properly. 19 Objection, asked and answered. 19 Q Just look at the last page of this document. 20 A Can you buy the shaver -A This document being No. 39? 20 Q Yes. How were these numbers for pricing set? 21 Q Can you buy an R-9500 --21 22 A Without a cleaning basin, no. A How were these specific numbers set for pricing? 22 23 Q Can you buy a cleaning basin without one of these 23 Q Yes. A I wasn't involved in setting these, but I would 24 shavers? 24 speculate they were set in the same fashion we set 25 A No. 25 162 164 Q Why not? 1 them at Rayovac looking at the competitive landscape, A The two are designed to integrally work together. 2 marketplace, features and benefits, what we see as Q Is marketing involved in the decision to sell the two 3 coming out with in the upcoming time frame, what 4 products together? retailer margin expectations are. 4 5 A Yes. 5 Q Jim Doyle is the person to talk to on that? Q Why was it decided to sell the products in this way? 6 6 A He would probably be the best person on that. 7 A Not being privy to the exact discussions that 7 Q We talked earlier about the packaging of the various surrounded the strategy on that, I would speculate or essentially the four products that incorporate a 8 8 9 that, as I mentioned before, the two products work cleaning center, and it includes a shaver and the 9 integrally together. A cleaning shaver without a 10 10 cleaning base. Is there anything else included in 11 cleaning base defeats the purpose of having a cleaning 11 that package? 12 shaver. A cleaning base without a cleaning shaver A I'm confused when you say four SKUs. 12 13 defeats the purpose of having a cleaning base. Q I'm sorry, not SKUs, the four products, the R-9500, 13 Q But at the time, for example, the R-9500 was the MS-5500, the MS-5700 and the WDF-7000. 14 14 introduced, you had rotary shavers on the market, 15 15 A Okay. I can speak to the first three. 16 correct? 16 Q Okav. A The R-9500, the MS-5500 and the MS-5700, basically 17 A Correct. 17 18 Q Why was it decided or why was it considered preferable 18 it's the shaver along with a cord and a cleaning base, to create a new shaver to specifically work with the a bottle of cleaning fluid and a filter for the 19 19 cleaning basin rather than simply come out with a cleaning system. 20 20 21 cleaning basin that was compatible with the existing Q And the consumer then has to assemble the product? 22 rotary shavers? A I don't know what you mean by assemble. Q For example, they have to place the filter into the 23 A Existing rotary shavers would have had to have been 24 retrofitted for the cleaning system. Our system cleaning system? 24 A The filter is separate from the cleaning system, and 25 25 requires there to be holes in the shaver itself for

A It could potentially be. Again, I'm not the design expert.

logistical complications that would preclude manufacturing all shavers with these holes?

> outside the scope of the topics the witness has been designated for.

the reasons that the shavers weren't manufactured on an ongoing basis with the holes for the cleaning fluid was that it presented design difficulties, is that correct?

A It adds a level of design that would need to be taken

into account, yes. MS. WOLF: Take a break for five

minutes.

(A short recess is taken)

MR. UELAND: Back on at 3:10.

Q Was the marketing department involved in the original development of the Rayovac cleaner?

23 A The marketing department involved in the Smart System 24 cleaning systems?

25 Q Yes.

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

13 A I believe you asked that earlier.

14 Q The answer was?

15 A Not that I'm aware of.

16 Q In relation to that it mentions a high concept score.

17 What does that mean in sort of marketing parlance?

18 A Whenever you're testing something with consumers.

19 you'll test a concept, and if the benefit or feature

20 that the consumer is getting is something that is of

21 interest to them, of high interest to them, it will be

22 a high concept score.

Q And in this instance it's referring to cleaning 23

24 receiving a high concept score?

A Specifically on No. 3, fully automated

PROFESSIONAL REPORTERS, LTD.

		7	<u> </u>	
1	169 cleaning/charging system will provide the user with a	1	with the shaver similar to that of Braun," and same as	171
2	fully cleaned and charged shaver. Further, the	2	Braun in paragraph 6 "with perhaps the opportunity to	
`3	concept received the highest scores in our recent	3	come in under them." Why was he interested in making	
4	external research program. The concept of the entire	4	his product like Braun's?	
5	system scored high.	5	A As you read through this document, it appears we had a	
6	Q In development of the product, did Remington measure	6	choice to make. We could have either made claims	
7	the success and goals against Syncro, against Braun's	7	relative to the cleaning solution to directly talk	
8	Syncro product?	8	about a household type cleaner or we could have made	
9	MR. UELAND: Did they measure what?	9	claims that said moisturizes your face and other	
10	•	10		
11	benchmark its goals against Syncro testing.	11	presented an additional regulatory application we	
12		12		
13	I	13		
14	·	14		
15	-	15		
16		16		
17		17		
18	1	18		
19	-	19	\	
20		20		
21	ourselves to Braun's cleaning solution.	21	Q Was it an important consideration that Braun had not	
22	(Exhibit 40 is marked for identification)	22	-	
23	Q Take a moment and look that over.	23	pursued the latter option as you described it? A I don't believe that it was an important	
24		24		
25		25		
٦	A Okay.	25	a concept that had tested high in terms of skin	
_	170			172
1	Q Paragraph 2(a), the last sentence indicates that, "I	1	conditioning as an added benefit of the cleaning	
2	am only interested in making claims associated with	2	system, I'm not sure it would have mattered at all	
3	cleaning the actual shaver that is part of the package	3	where Braun was.	
4	sold with the cleaning base similar to the," blank,	4	(Exhibit 41 is marked for identification)	
5	"of Braun," and then paragraph 6 again repeats, "Same	5	Q Take a quick look. I have a couple questions.	
6	as Braun, with perhaps the opportunity to come in	6	(Witness examining document)	
7	under them." Do you know why let me go back a step	7	Q Under the section called Findings and Indicated	
8	actually before that. Yuri Avila we talked about.	8	Actions there is an item No. 2 in Exhibit 41 which is	
9	Who is Yuri Avila? His name has come up.	9	2003 business review of men's shavers. What is that	
10	A Yuri Avila came to us from Remington, and he is our	10	second finding?	
11	leader of technology, R&D on men's shaving, on shaving	11	A Second finding under No. 2 as in	
12	systems.	12	Q On page R 12432.	
13	Q You stated earlier you don't know who Peter Katz is,	13	A Yes, what section of two were you questioning?	
14	correct?	14		
15		15	the state of the s	
16	Q Who is Jeff Hovis?	16		ļ
17			A "We must launch a new high-end shaver with a cleaning	į
18	at the bottom Jeff is from somebody other than	18	system."	
19	Remington.	19	Q And just underneath that on section A it talks about	
20	Q And Jim Doyle we have also talked about, correct?	20	the Syncro cleaning system, correct?	
21	A Um-hum.	21	A Yeah.	
.5	Q Under 2(a) it looks like we think these were probably	22	Q And most of the section of Exhibit 41 is a comparison	
_ડ			• *****	
	in different colors at one point in time. Under 2(a)	23	or a discussion of Braun's Syncro system, correct?	
24	in different colors at one point in time. Under 2(a) on Exhibit 40, "Please see my comments below," Peter		or a discussion of Braun's Syncro system, correct?	
	in different colors at one point in time. Under 2(a)	23 24	or a discussion of Braun's Syncro system, correct?	

174

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

cartridge.

14 15 A As we launch new SKUs, the success of our SKUs and 16 consumer testing certainly play into it. The success 17 of SKUs in the category would play into understanding 18 what's driving consumer behavior. 19 Q is it standard in developing a new product to 20 specifically study the products of a competitor? 21 A At some point or another we need to study the products 22 of a competitor at a bare minimum to ensure we're not, 23 you know, in patent violation.

Q is it standard to run consumer tests with competitors'

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

products?

19 A Improve upon it or offer a different variation of it 20 perhaps. 21 Q You don't want to copy an existing product verbatim 22 because of patent concerns. Does marketing direct design or engineering staff to design around patents? 23 24 A Not that I'm aware of. Q Does marketing identify specific features that it

175

A We'll run focus groups at times with our products and competitive products. The Scout research that's referred to in here is more of a broad market base that tries to understand the behavior of the consumer and are they a blade shaver, electric shaver, are they solely electric or those types of things more across the shaving category in general than specific to a given competitor per se. Q Would Rayovac or Remington have commissioned this Scout work? A We work with Scout basically on an annual basis. Scout pulls together information for trends in the category. I believe they have been doing it annually. Q You mentioned that at some point you have to study a competitor's product specifically related to patents. Are there other reasons that you would study a competitor's product? A Yes. Q And what would those reasons be? A Understand what features and benefits, as any business selling a product, you would want to understand what's

in the marketplace and what you're selling against.

A Again with any product in any company, anytime you're

Q is it important to see what features of an existing

successful product people like and don't like?

wants included in a new product? Q Was one of those features with regard to the R-9500 that marketing wanted a cartridge for cleaning fluid rather than a cleaning fluid container? A I don't specifically know that. Without reviewing history, I do not know that. Q If you could look at Exhibit 36 for a moment under No. 5, Product Definition. (Witness examining document) Q After reading No. 5, does that refresh your recollection as to whether or not marketing originally contemplated that the R-9500 would use a cartridge? A According to this form, it does indicate the original product definition would in fact have a cartridge replacement. Q Why was marketing pushing the use of a cartridge? A I don't know. I mean from reading one of the other exhibits you had given me, there was talk about spilling. In the previous document you had given me, document No. 41, under the exact section we were reviewing, cleaning solution has a tendency to spill may have been the reason why they were pursuing a

25 Q Do the Rayovac products, cleaning products use a

A No. Q Myn not? A I don't know. We deliver it in a bottle with a sealed top and replaceable filter. Q Who would know why the decision was made not to use a cartridge for cleaning fluid? A I don't know if Yuri might know that. Q Who would be involved in a final decision as to whether or not to use a cartridge for cleaning fluid? MR. UELAND: Objection, outside the seignand design input. A You would believe that any product designs would be evaluated at any company with marketing and design input. Q At some point in product development does the legal department also review a product? MR. UELAND: You can answer that grounds of relevance as well, but you can answer the question yes or no. MR. UELAND: Then I object on the evaluated at any company with marketing and design input. MR. UELAND: You can answer that yes or no. MR. WOLF: I mean any SKU. MR. WOLF: The witness can answer the question yes or no. MS. WOLF: The witness can answer the question yes or no. MR. WOLF: The witness can answer the question yes or no. MR. WOLF: The witness can answer the question yes or no. MR. WOLF: The witness can answer the question yes or no. MR. WOLF: The witness can answer the question yes or no. MR. WOLF: The witness can answer the question yes or no. MR. WOLF: The witness can answer the question yes or no. MR. WOLF: The witness can answer the axis of privilege. A Yes. Q At what stage of the development does the legal department become involved? MR. UELAND: I instruct you not to answer that question on the basis of privilege.		Case 1.03-CV-12360001LLCII LOLLUAIGAN 2		rage 13 01 30	
2 No. 3 Withy most? 4 A I don't know. We deliver it in a bottle with a sealed to pain replaceable filter. 5 Own would know why the decision was made not to use a cartridge for cleaning fluid? 6 I don't know. We deliver it in a bottle with a sealed to pain replaceable filter. 7 Own would know why the decision was made not to use a cartridge for cleaning fluid? 8 I don't know Why the might know that. 9 Who would be involved in a final decision as to whether or not to use a cartridge for cleaning fluid? 9 MR. UELAND: Objection, outside the scope of the topics the witness has been designated for. 9 MR. UELAND: Objection, outside the evaluated at any company with marketing and design injust. 9 O At some point in product development does the legal department also review a product? 9 A Yes. 10 A Yes. 11 A SwoUp: What side of the development does the legal department also review a product? 12 MR. UELAND: You can answer that yes or no. 13 MR. UELAND: Then to lobject on the evaluated at any company with marketing and design injust. 15 MR. UELAND: You can answer that yes or no. 16 A Yes. 17 O At some point in product development does the legal department also review a product? 18 MR. UELAND: You can answer that yes or no. 19 MR. UELAND: Then to lobject on the evaluated at any company with marketing and design injust. 19 MR. UELAND: Then to lobject on the evaluated at any company with marketing and design for relevance as well, but you can answer that yes or no. 19 MR. UELAND: Then to lobject on the evaluated at any company with marketing and design for relevance as well, but you can answer that yes or no. 19 MR. UELAND: Then to lobject on the evaluated at any company with marketing and design for relevance as well, but you can answer that yes or no. 19 MR. UELAND: Then to lobject on the evaluated at any company with marketing and design for relevance as well, but you can answer that yes or no. 20 MR. UELAND: What is the privilege? 21 MR. UELAND: I limit that the point at which they get involved? 22 MR. UELAND: I limit tha	1		177	MR. UELAND: Go get your ruling.	179
a don't know. We deliver it in a bottle with a sealed to paid replaceable filter. A I don't know why the decision was made not to use a cartridge for cleaning fluid? A I don't know if Yuri might know that. Q Who would know why the decision was made not to use a cartridge for cleaning fluid? A I don't know if Yuri might know that. Q Who would be involved in a final decision as to whether or not to use a cartridge for cleaning fluid? MR. UELAND: Objection, outside the scope of the topics the witherses has been designated for. A You would be lieve that any product designs would be evaluated at any company with marketing and design input. A Yes. A Yes. A Yes. A Yes. A Yes. A Yes. A Will Sueland: You can answer that yes or no. MR. UELAND: You can answer that yes or no. A Yes. A Yes. A What stage of the development does the legal department abscrawing involved. MR. UELAND: I hink that the point at what point the yes or no. MR. UELAND: I think that the point at which they get involved could mightly reveal legal advice or an attorney-client communication involved. MR. UELAND: I think that the point at what point they become involved? MR. UELAND: I think that the point at which they get involved could mightly reveal legal advice or an attorney-client communication that would effectively reveal the substance of the communication involved. MR. UELAND: Objection, instruct think that the point that would effectively reveal the substance of the communication involved. MR. UELAND: Objection, instruct think that the point that would effectively reveal the substance of the communication involved. MR. UELAND: Objection, instruct think that the point that would effectively reveal the substance of the communication involved. MR. UELAND: Objection, instruct think that the point that would effectively reveal the substance of the communication involved. MR. UELAND: Objection, instruct think that the point that would effectively reveal the substance of the communication involved. MR. UELAND: Objection, inst	2	A No.	2	_	
A continuor with a continuor with a sealed to paint replaceable filter or an or projected by the continuor with the decision was made not to use a carridge for cleaning fluid?	3	Q Why not?	3		
5 question yas or no. 2 Who would know why the decision was made not to use a cartridge for cleaning fluid? 3 A I don't know if Yuri might know that. 4 Who would be involved in a final adecision as to whether or not to use a cartridge for cleaning fluid? 5 MR. UELAND: Objection, cutside the scope of the topics the witness has been designated for. 5 You would believe that any product designs would be scope of the topics the witness has been designated for. 6 A You would believe that any product designs would be sevaluated at any company with marketing and design input. 7 A You would believe that any product development does the legal department also review a product? 8 A What stage of the development does the legal department become involved? 9 A Who Would: Instruct you not to answer that question on the basis of privilege. 10 MR. UELAND: I think that — 11 MR. WELAND: I think that the point at which they get involved. 12 MR. UELAND: I think that the point at which they get involved at what point they become involved. 13 MR. WELAND: I think that the point at which they get involved could implicitly reveal the substance of the communication, so my objection and instruction stands. 15 MR. WELAND: Objection, instruct thin that would effectively reveal the substance of the communication, so my objection and instruction stands. 16 MR. UELAND: Objection, instruct thin not to answer. 17 A get and the work of with an addition of the process when the legal department become involved. 18 MR. UELAND: Objection, instruct thin not to answer. 19 MR. UELAND: Objection, instruct thin not to answer. 20 Just take a moment to look at it. 21 A first factures and/or a time afficiency in the process when the legal department become involved. 22 And what sa a benchmark concepts. 23 And what is a basic private with the process of the communication, so my objection and instruction that would effectively reveal the substance of the communication, so my objection and instruction that would effectively reveal the subst	4	A I don't know. We deliver it in a bottle with a sealed	4	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
With would know with the decision was made not to use a cartridge for cleaning fluid? 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	5	top and replaceable filter.	5		
a contriduge for cleaning fluid? A I don't know if Yuri might know that. Who would be involved in a final decision as to whether or not to use a cartridge for cleaning fluid? MR. UELAND: Coljection, critication to accompany with marketing and design input. A You would believe that any product designs would be ovaluated at any company with marketing and design input. A You would believe that any product designs would be ovaluated at any company with marketing and design input. A You would believe that any product designs would be ovaluated at any company with marketing and design input. A You would believe that any product designs would be ovaluated at any company with marketing and design input. A You would believe that any product development does the legal department also review a product? MR. UELAND: You can answer that yes or no. A Yes. A Yes would believe that any product designs would be ovaluated at any company with marketing and design input. MR. UELAND: The instruction to form. MR. UELAND: You can answer that yes or no. A Yes. A Yes would believe that any product designs would be of you would believe that have, if my tast struggling to recall the question, we not not make the provided at any company with marketing and design input. MR. UELAND: The instruct you not to answer that yes or no. MR. UELAND: It think that — MR. UELAND: It think that — MR. UELAND: It think that — MR. UELAND: It think that it would effectively reveal the substance of the communication involved at what point they become involved. MR. UELAND: It hink that the point at which they ge involved could implicitly reveal legal advice or an attorney-client communication involved and what point they become involved. MR. UELAND: It hask again. If all to see the basis of how time — I is it early in the process when the legal department become involved? MR. UELAND: Objection, instruct in that would effectively reveal the substance of the communication involved and what point they become involved. MR. UELAND: Objectio	6	Q Who would know why the decision was made not to use a	6	-	
A continue of Yuri might know that. 3 outside counsel, with regard to the introduction of a new SKU, a new product? MR. UELAND: Objection, outside the whether or not to use a cartridge for cleaning fluid? MR. UELAND: Objection, outside the substance of the topics the witness has been 12 designated for. A You would believe that any product designs would be evaluated at any company with marketing and design input. MR. UELAND: Then I object on the grounds of relevance as well, but you can answer input. MR. UELAND: Then I object on the grounds of relevance as well, but you can answer in the question, Note your objection to form. MR. UELAND: Then I object on the grounds of relevance as well, but you can answer the question was or no. MR. UELAND: Then I object on the grounds of relevance as well, but you can answer the question was or no. MR. WOLF: The witness can answer the question was or no. MR. WOLF: The witness can answer the question was or no. A I believe that I have, I'm just struggling to recall the exact circumstances. A I was stage of the development does the legal department become involved? MR. UELAND: I hims that have a legal advice or he basis of privilege. 178 MR. WOLF: What is the privilege? 178 MR. WOLF: What is the privilege? 189 MR. WOLF: What is the privilege? 180 MR. WOLF: What is the privilege was of the communication involved at what point they become involved. 180 MR. WOLF: What is the privilege? 180 MR. WOLF: Wh	7		7	-	
Who would be involved in a final decision as to whether or not to use a cartridge for cleaning fluid? 10 11 12 13 13 14 15 15 15 15 15 15 15	8		8		
whether or not to use a cartridge for cleaning fluid? MR. UELAND: Objection, outside the scope of the topics the whitese has been designated for. A You would believe that any product designs would be evaluated at any company with marketing and design input. A A tow and believe that any product designs would be evaluated at any company with marketing and design input. A A some point in product development does the legal department also review a product? MR. UELAND: You can answer that yes or no. MR. UELAND: You can answer that yes or no. A Ves.	9	Q Who would be involved in a final decision as to	9	-	
MR. UELAND: Objection, outside the scope of the topics the witness has been designated for. You would believe that any product designs would be evaluated at any company with marketing and design input. At some point in product development does the legal department also review a product? MR. UELAND: You can answer that yes or no. At some point in product development does the legal department also review a product? MR. UELAND: You can answer that yes or no. At believe that inw, I'm just struggling to recall the exact circumstances. At what stage of the development does the legal department become involved? MR. UELAND: I instruct you not to answer that question on the basis of privilege. MR. UELAND: I think that — MS. WOLF: There is no as which they get involved could implicitly reveal involved. MR. UELAND: I think that the point at which they get involved could implicitly reveal that would effectively reveal the substance of the communication, so my objection and instruction stands. MS. WOLF: I'm ask again. I fall to see the basis of how time — MR. UELAND: Objection, instruct MS. WOLF: The ask again. I fall to see the basis of how time — MR. UELAND: Objection, instruct MS. WOLF: The ask again. I fall to see the basis of how time — MR. UELAND: Objection in product development described in the very of identification of the process when the legal department become strovoved — MS. WOLF: The ask again. I fall to see the basis of how time — MR. UELAND: Objection and instruction stands. MS. WOLF: I'm ask again. I fall to see the basis of how time — MR. UELAND: Objection in instruct MR. Wolf: I was the privilege of the development, again whether we're making a claim or we're concerned about a patent infringement. Legal will be involved in that early on so we don't end up with a product that's not saleble. (Exhibit 42 or marke	10	whether or not to use a cartridge for cleaning fluid?	10	•	
business or do you mean— designated for. A You would believe that any product designs would be evaluated at any company with marketing and design input. Q At some point in product development does the legal department also review a product? MR. UELAND: You can answer that yes or no. Q At what stage of the development does the legal department become involved? MR. UELAND: Instruct you not to answer that question on the basis of privilege? MR. UELAND: Instruct you not to answer that question on the basis of privilege? MR. UELAND: Instruct you not to answer that question on the basis of privilege? MR. UELAND: Instruct you not to answer that question on the basis of privilege? MR. UELAND: Instruct you not to answer that question on the basis of privilege? MR. UELAND: Instruct you not to answer that question on the basis of privilege? MR. UELAND: Instruct you not to answer that question on the basis of privilege? MR. UELAND: Instruct you not to answer that question on the basis of privilege? MR. UELAND: Instruct you not to answer that question on the basis of privilege? MR. UELAND: Instruct you not to answer that question on the basis of privilege? MR. UELAND: Instruct you not to answer that question on the basis of privilege? MR. UELAND: Instruct you not to answer that question on the basis of privilege? MR. UELAND: Instruct you not to answer that question on the basis of privilege? MR. UELAND: Instruct you not to answer that question on the basis of privilege? MR. UELAND: Instruct you not to answer that question on the basis of privilege? MR. UELAND: Instruct you not to answer that question on the basis of privilege? MR. UELAND: Instruct you not to answer that question on the basis of privilege. MR. UELAND: Instruct you not to answer that question on the basis of privilege. A Sa part of the normal course of development, again whether it's a battery, it's a shaver, it's a claim or we're concerned about a patent infringement. Legal will be involved in that early on owe don't end up with a pr	11		11		
designated for. A You would believe that any product designs would be evaluated at any company with marketing and design tinput. A to some point in product development does the legal department also review a product? MR. UELAND: You can answer that yes or no. A to some point in product development does the legal department also review a product? MR. UELAND: You can answer that yes or no. A to some point in product development does the legal department also review a product? MR. UELAND: You can answer that yes or no. A to levelop that have, I'm just struggling to recall the exact circumstances are. I'm just curious if these were unusual circumstances are. I'm just curious if these were unusual incumentations are. I'm just curious if these were unusual incumentations are. I'm just curious if these were unusual circumstances that gave rise to this meeting or is it part of the normal course of development? MS. WOLF: What is the privilege? MR. UELAND: I think that — MS. WOLF: What is the privilege? MR. UELAND: I think that the point involved. MR. UELAND: I think that the point involved. MR. UELAND: I think that the point involved. MR. UELAND: I think that the point at which they get involved could implicitly reveal legal advice or an attorney-client communication that would effectively reveal the substance of the communication that would effectively reveal the substance of the communication, so my objection and instruction stands. MS. WOLF: I'll ask again. I fail to see the basis of how time — A Seart of the normal course of development, again whether it's a battery, it's a shaver, it's a whatever, legal is involved whether we're making a delaim or we're concerned about a patent infringement. (Exhibit 42: part late and any one one don't end up with a product that's not salable. (Exhibit 42: part late and to one with a product that's not salable. (Exhibit 42: part late and to one with a product that's not salable. (Exhibit 42: part late and to one with a product that's not salable. (Exhibit 42:	12	-	12		
4 A You would believe that any product designs would be input. 5 evaluated at any company with marketing and design input. 6 A You would believe that any product development does the legal input. 7 Q At some point in product development does the legal department also review a product? 8 MR. UELAND: You can answer that yes or no. 9 MR. UELAND: You can answer that yes or no. 10 A Yos. 11 A Yos. 12 Q At what stage of the development does the legal department become involved? 12 MR. UELAND: Inistruct you not to answer that question on the basis of privilege. 13 MS. WOLF: What is the privilege? 14 MS. WOLF: What is the privilege? 15 MS. WOLF: Thore is no communication involved at what point they become involved. 16 MR. UELAND: I think that — 17 MS. WOLF: Thore is no communication involved at what point they become involved. 18 MR. UELAND: I think that the point at which they get involved could implicitly reveal legal advice or an atmorp-client communication at which they get involved cond instruction that would effectively reveal the substance of the communication, so my objection and instruction that would effectively reveal the substance of the communication, so my objection and instruction that would effectively reveal the substance of the becomes involved. 10 I is team to a which they get involved contain intermediation in that would effectively reveal the substance of the communication, so my objection and instruction that the substance of the becomes involved. 12 MS. WOLF: I'll ask again. I fail to see the basis of how time — 15 MS. WOLF: I'll ask again. I fail 12 Q list tearly in the process when the legal department 14 D lost at the contains selected? 15 MS. WOLF: Just let the record 15 MS. WOLF: Just let the record 16 MS. WOLF: Just let the record 17 MS. WOLF: Just let the record 18 Q with a sea added this declaring benchmarks, is that a frequestion. Note the substance of the contains and or at times different features, although the time of the process or were these unusual circumstances and or the basis of	13		- 1		
evaluated at any company with marketing and design input. Input. Q At some point in product development does the legal department also review a product? MR. UELAND: You can answer that yes or no. A Yes. Q At what stage of the development does the legal department become involved? MR. UELAND: I instruct you not to answer that question on the basis of privilege. MR. UELAND: What is the privilege? MR. UELAND: I think that — 2 MS. WOLF: What is the privilege? MR. UELAND: I think that — 2 MR. UELAND: I think that the point at which they get involved at what point they become involved. MR. UELAND: I think that the point at which they get involved could implicitly reveal that would effectively reveal the substance of the communication, so my objection and instruction that would effectively reveal the substance of the becomes involved — MR. UELAND: Objection, instruct thin not to answer. MR. WOLF: Ust let the record feel that there is no valid basis for the privilege and that we will be asking future privilege and that we will be asking future privilege and that we will be asking future and course and or make the gale and communication and instruction and instruction are feel that there is no valid basis for the privilege and that we will be asking future and course and or a think due to this meeting or is it part of the normal course of development; again whether it's a battery, it's a shaver, it's a whatever, legal is involved whether we're making a whatever, legal is involved whether we're making a whatever, legal is involved whether we're making a whatever, legal is involved in that early on so we don't end up with a product that's not salable. (East which they get involved could implicitly reveal the substance of the communication that would effectively reveal the substance of the communication, so my objection and instruction to substance of the communication, so my objection and instruction to substance of the communication is the process when the legal department that we will be asking future with t	14	A You would believe that any product designs would be	ì	-	
the question yes or no. At some point in product development does the legal department also review a product? MR. UELAND: You can answer that yes or no. A Ves. Q At what stage of the development does the legal department become involved? MR. UELAND: I instruct you not to answer that question involved at what point they become involved. MR. UELAND: I think that the point at which they get involved could implicitly reveal the glad advice or an attorney-client communication that would effectively reveal the substance of the communication, so my objection and instruction stands. MS. WOLF: I'll ask again. I fail to see the basis of how time — MR. UELAND: Objection, instruct immort on answer. MR. WELAND: Objection, instruct immort on answer. MR. UELAND: Don't answer the question. MR. UELAND: Just let the record of privilege and that we will be asking future withesses similar questions and, if necessary, 4 A I don't know.	15			•	
MS. WOLF: The witness can answer the department also review a product? MR. UELAND: You can answer that yes or no. A Yes. A Yes. A Was stage of the development does the legal department become involved? MR. UELAND: I instruct you not to answer that yes or mo. MR. UELAND: I instruct you not to answer that yes or mo. MR. UELAND: What is the privilege? MR. UELAND: What is the privilege? MR. UELAND: I think that — MS. WOLF: What is the privilege? MR. UELAND: I think that — MS. WOLF: There is no MR. UELAND: I think that + MS. WOLF: There is no MR. UELAND: I think that the point at which they get involved at what point they become involved. MR. UELAND: I think that the point at which they get involved could implicitly reveal legal advice or an attorney-client communication that would effectively reveal the substance of the communication, so my objection and instruction stands. MS. WOLF: Til ask again. I fail to communication, so my objection and instruction stands. MS. WOLF: Til ask again. I fail to communication that would effectively reveal the substance of the communication, so my objection and instruction stands. MS. WOLF: Til ask again. I fail to make a product? MR. UELAND: Objection, instruct in min not to answer. MR. UELAND: Objection, instruct in min not to answer. MR. UELAND: Objection, instruct in min not to answer. MR. UELAND: Objection, instruct in min not to answer. MR. UELAND: Objection, instruct in min not to answer. MR. UELAND: Objection, instruct in min not to answer. MR. UELAND: Objection, instruct in min not to answer. MR. UELAND: Objection, instruct in min not to answer. MR. UELAND: Objection, instruct in min not to answer. MR. UELAND: Objection, instruct in min not to answer. MR. UELAND: Objection, instruct in min not to answer. MR. UELAND: Objection, instruct in min not to answer. MR. UELAND: Objection, instruct in min not to answer. MR. UELAND: Objection, instruct in min not to answer. MR. UELAND: Objection, instruct in min not to answer. MR. UELAND: Objection,	16		16		
department also review a product? MR. UELAND: You can answer that yes or no. A Yes. A Yes. A Wast stage of the development does the legal department become involved? MR. WELAND: I think that — MS. WOLF: What is the privilege? MR. UELAND: I think that the point at Which they get involved could implicitly reveal the substance of the geal department to communication, so my objection and instruction stands. MS. WOLF: I'll ask again. I fall to see the basis of how time — MS. WOLF: I'll ask again. I fall MR. UELAND: Objection, instruct the guestion. MR. UELAND: Objection, instruct that the point at Which they get involved could implicitly reveal the substance of the substance of the substance of the substance of the guestion. MR. WELAND: Objection, instruct the privilege and that would be asking future privilege and that we will be asking future privilege and that we will be asking future witnesses similar questions and, if necessary, MS. WOLF: Just let the record count miles and witnesses similar questions and, if necessary, MS. WOLF: Just let the record count miles and witnesses similar questions and, if necessary, MS. WOLF: Just let the record count miles and witnesses similar questions and, if necessary, MS. WOLF: Just let the record count miles and witnesses similar questions and, if necessary, MS. WOLF: Just let the record count miles and witnesses similar questions and, if necessary, MS. WOLF: Just let the record count miles and witnesses similar questions and, if necessary, MS. WOLF: Just let the record count miles and witnesses similar questions and, if necessary, MS. WOLF: Just let the record count miles and witnesses similar questions and, if necessary, MS. WOLF: Just let the record count miles and with the process or were these unusual of the exact circumstances. MS. Wolf: Satandard part of the process or were these unusual — I don't want to know what the circumstances. MS. Wolf: Leanch: Just curious in the exact circumstances. MS. Wolf: Leanch: Just curious and in the cast the proc	17	Q At some point in product development does the legal	ì	-	
MR. UELAND: You can answer that yes or no. 20 A Yes. 21 A Yes. 22 Q At what stage of the development does the legal department become involved? 23 department become involved? 24 MR. UELAND: I instruct you not to answer that question on the basis of privilege. 178 MS. WOLF: What is the privilege? MR. UELAND: I think that — MS. WOLF: There is no communication involved at what point they become involved. MR. UELAND: I think that the point at which they get involved could implicitly reveal legal advice or an attorney-client communication that would effectively reveal the substance of the communication, so my objection and instruction stands. MS. WOLF: I'll ask again. I fall to see the basis of how time — Q Is it early in the process when the legal department becomes involved — MR. UELAND: Objection, instruct ihim not to answer. MR. UELAND: Don't answer the question. MR. WOLF: Just let the record AR. Witnesses similar questions and, if necessary, A I believe that I have, I'm just struggling to recall the exact circumstances. 20 Is at a standard part of the process or were these unusual – I don't want to know what the circumstances are. I'm just curious if these were unusual circumstances that gave rise to this meeting or is it part of the normal course of development, part of the normal course of development? A As part of the normal course of development, are I'm just curious if these were unusual circumstances. 180 A As part of the normal course of development, are I'm just curious if these were unusual circumstances that gave rise to this meeting or is it part of the normal course of development, are I'm just curious if these were unusual circumstances that gave rise to this meeting or is it part of the normal course of development, are I'm just curious if these were unusual circumstances. 180 A S part of the normal course of development, are I'm just curious if these were unusual circumstances. 180 A As part of the normal course of development, are I'm just curious if these were unusual circumstances. 1	18	•	'		
yes or no. A Yes. Q At what stage of the development does the legal department become involved? MR. UELAND: I instruct you not to answer that question on the basis of privilege. MS. WOLF: What is the privilege? MR. UELAND: I think that — MS. WOLF: What is the privilege? MR. UELAND: I think that — MS. WOLF: There is no communication involved at what point they become involved. MR. UELAND: I think that the point at which they get involved could implicitly reveal the substance of the communication, so my objection and instruction stands. MS. WOLF: I'll ask again. I fail to see the basis of how time — Q a ls it a standard part of the process or were these unusual — I don't want to know what the circumstances are. I'm just curious if these were unusual — it originates are. I'm just curious if these were unusual — it originates are. I'm just curious if these were unusual — it originates are. I'm just curious if these were unusual — it originates are. I'm just curious if these were unusual — it originates are. I'm just curious if these were unusual — it originates are. I'm just curious if these were unusual — it originates are. I'm just curious if these were unusual — it originates are. I'm just curious if these were unusual — it originates are. I'm just curious if these were unusual — it originates are. I'm just curious if these were unusual — it originates are. I'm just curious if these were unusual — it originates are. I'm just curious if these were unusual — it originates are. I'm just curious if these were unusual — it originates are. I'm just curious if these were unusual — it originates are. I'm just curious if these were unusual — it originates are. I'm just curious if these were unusual — it originates are. I'm just curious if these were unusual — it originates are. I'm just curious if these were unusual — it originates are in the increase are. I'm just curious if these were unusual — it originates are in the increase are. I'm just curious if these were unusual — it originates are in the increase	- 1				
27 A Yes. 28 Q At what stage of the development does the legal department become involved? 29 department become involved? 20 MR. UELAND: I instruct you not to answer that question on the basis of privilege. 21 MS. WOLF: What is the privilege? 22 MS. WOLF: What is the privilege? 23 MS. WOLF: What is the privilege? 34 MS. WOLF: What is the privilege? 35 MS. WOLF: There is no 36 communication involved at what point they become involved. 36 MR. UELAND: I think that the point at which they get involved at what point they become involved. 37 A what would effectively reveal the substance of the communication, so my objection and instruction to see the basis of how time — 39 MS. WOLF: I'll ask again. I fail to see the basis of how time — 40 Q Is it a standard part of the process or were these unusual — Idon't want to know what the circumstances are. I'm just curious if these were unusual are. I'm just curious if these were inusual are. I'm just curious if these were unusual are. I'm just curious if these were inusual are. I'm just curious if these were unusual are. I'm just curious if the weter unusual are. I'm just curious if the weter unusual are. I'm just curious if the weter in the normal course	- 1				
Q At what stage of the development does the legal department become involved? MR. UELAND: I instruct you not to answer that question on the basis of privilege. MS. WOLF: What is the privilege? MR. UELAND: I think that — 22 Maxwer that question on the basis of privilege? MR. UELAND: I think that — 23 Maxwer that question on the basis of privilege? MR. UELAND: I think that — 24 Maxwer that question on the basis of privilege? MR. UELAND: I think that — 25 Maxwer, it's a whatever, legal is involved whether we're making a communication involved at what point they become involved. MR. UELAND: I think that the point at which they get involved could implicitly reveal legal advice or an attorney-client communication that would effectively reveal the substance of the communication, so my objection and instruction stands. MS. WOLF: I'll ask again. I fall to see the basis of how time — 34 When you test the concepts of a product. Q I sit early in the process when the legal department becomes involved — 35 MR. UELAND: Objection, instruct infilm not to answer. 36 MR. UELAND: Don't answer the question. 37 MR. WOLF: Just let the record privilege and that we will be asking future withnesses similar questions and, if necessary, 34 I don't know.	Į	-			
department become involved? MR. UELAND: I instruct you not to answer that question on the basis of privilege. MR. WOLF: What is the privilege? MR. UELAND: I think that — MS. WOLF: What is the privilege? MR. UELAND: I think that — MS. WOLF: There is no communication involved at what point they become involved. MR. UELAND: I think that the point at which they get involved could implicitly reveal legal advice or an attorney-client communication that would effectively reveal the substance of the communication, so my objection and instruction stands. MS. WOLF: I'll ask again. I fail MS. WOLF: I'll ask again. I fail Q is it early in the process when the legal department becomes involved — MR. UELAND: Objection, instruct MR. UELAND: Objection,	22	Q At what stage of the development does the legal			
24 MR. UELAND: I instruct you not to answer that question on the basis of privilege. 25 MS. WOLF: What is the privilege? 3 MS. WOLF: There is no 3 communication involved at what point they become involved. 4 communication involved at what point they become involved. 5 MR. UELAND: I think that the point at which they get involved could implicitly reveal legal advice or an attorney-client communication that would effectively reveal the substance of the communication, so my objection and instruction stands. 20 Us it early in the process when the legal department becomes involved. 3 MS. WOLF: This is no salable. 4 Calm or we're concerned about a patent infringement. 5 Legal will be involved in that early on so we don't end up with a product that's not salable. 6 (Exhibit 42 is marked for identification) 8 Q Just take a moment to look at it. 9 (Witness examining document) 10 Q What is Exhibit 42? 11 A Sa part of the normal course of development, again whether it's a battery, it's a batneyr, it's a b	23				
answer that question on the basis of privilege. MS. WOLF: What is the privilege? MR. UELAND: I think that — MS. WOLF: There is no communication involved at what point they become involved. MR. UELAND: I think that the point MR. UELAND: Don't answer the question. MR. UELAND: Don't answer the privilege and that we will be asking future witheses similar questions and, if necessary, MR. UELAND: Don't and, if necessary, MR.			- 1		
MS. WOLF: What is the privilege? MR. UELAND: I think that — MS. WOLF: There is no communication involved at what point they become involved. MR. UELAND: I think that the point at which they get involved could implicitly reveal legal advice or an attorney-client communication that would effectively reveal the substance of the communication, so my objection and instruction stands. MS. WOLF: I'll ask again. I fail to see the basis of how time — Q Is it early in the process when the legal department becomes involved — MR. UELAND: Objection, instruct think not to answer. Q — or evaluates a product? MR. UELAND: Don't answer the question. MR. UELAND: Don't answer the reflect that there is no valid basis for the privilege and that we will be asking future withesses similar questions and, if necessary,	25			_	
MS. WOLF: What is the privilege? MR. UELAND: I think that — MS. WOLF: There is no communication involved at what point they become involved. MR. UELAND: I think that the point at which they get involved could implicitly reveal legal advice or an attorney-client communication at would effectively reveal that stands. MS. WOLF: I'll ask again. I fail to see the basis of how time — Q Is it early in the process when the legal department becomes involved — MR. UELAND: Objection, instruct MS. WOLF: Just let the record MR. UELAND: Don't answer the question. MR. UELAND: Don't answer the privilege and that we will be asking future Whether it's a battery, it's a shaver, it's a whatever, legal is involved whether we're making a claim or we're concerned about a patent infringement. Legal will be involved with that early on so we don't end up with a product that's not salable. (Exhibit 42 is marked for identification) 8 Q Just take a moment to look at it. (Witness examining document) Q Ush that is a benchmark concepts. Q And what is a benchmark concept? A When you test the concepts of a product. Q How are particular benchmarks may be selected on the basis of similar features and/or at times different features, although I'm not specifically involved with that on a regular basis. Q - or evaluates a product? MR. UELAND: Don't answer the question. Q - or evaluates a product? MR. WOLF: Just let the record A Marketing, like a Sean Martin. Q I don't see a date on this document. When was this document made? A I don't know.	ļ				
MR. UELAND: I think that — MS. WOLF: There is no communication involved at what point they become involved. MR. UELAND: I think that the point for involved. MR. UELAND: I think that the point for involved at what point they become involved. MR. UELAND: I think that the point for involved could implicitly reveal for identification involved could implicitly reveal for identification for involved in that early on so we don't for involved inthat early on so we don't for involved inthat early on so we don't for involved inthat early on so we don't for involved with that on so involved with that on a for involved with selecting benchmarks, is that a function of marketing? MS. WOLF: Just let the record for involved with selecting bench	1		1	A As part of the normal source of development auxilia	180
MS. WOLF: There is no communication involved at what point they become involved. MR. UELAND: I think that the point at which they get involved could implicitly reveal legal advice or an attorney-client communication that would effectively reveal the substance of the communication, so my objection and instruction stands. MS. WOLF: I'll ask again. I fail to see the basis of how time Q Is it early in the process when the legal department becomes involved MR. UELAND: Objection, instruct MR. UELAND: Don't answer the question. MR. UELAND: Don't answer the privilege and that we will be asking future with a product that's not salable. (Exhibit 42 is marked for identification) Q Just take a moment to look at it. (Witness examining document) Q Just take a moment to look at it. (Witness examining document) Q A What is Exhibit 42? A Tested benchmark concepts. Q And what is a benchmark concept? A When you test the concepts of a product. Q How are particular benchmarks selected? A Particular benchmarks may be selected on the basis of similar features and/or at times different features, although I'm not specifically involved with that on a regular basis. Q Who is involved with selecting benchmarks, is that a function of marketing? A Marketing, like a Sean Martin. Q I don't see a date on this document. When was this document made? A I don't know.	- 1				
communication involved at what point they become involved. MR. UELAND: I think that the point at which they get involved could implicitly reveal legal advice or an attorney-client communication that would effectively reveal the substance of the communication, so my objection and instruction stands. MS. WOLF: I'll ask again. I fail to see the basis of how time Q Is it early in the process when the legal department becomes involved MR. UELAND: Objection, instruct in mot to answer. Q - or evaluates a product? MR. UELAND: Don't answer the question. MR. UELAND: Don't answer the privilege and that we will be asking future witnesses similar questions and, if necessary, diam or we're concerned about a patent infringement. Legal will be involved in that early on so we don't end up with a product that's not salable. (Exhibit 42 is marked for identification) Q Just take a moment to look at it. (Witness examining document) Q What is Exhibit 42? A Tested benchmark concepts. A Particular benchmarks concept? A Particular benchmarks may be selected on the basis of similar features and/or at times different features, although I'm not specifically involved with that on a regular basis. Q - or evaluates a product? MS. WOLF: Just let the record question. MS. WOLF: Just let the record question. MS. WOLF: Just let the record question. A Marketing, like a Sean Martin. Q I don't see a date on this document. When was this document made? A I don't know.				•	
involved. MR. UELAND: I think that the point at which they get involved could implicitly reveal legal advice or an attorney-client communication that would effectively reveal the substance of the communication, so my objection and instruction stands. MS. WOLF: I'll ask again. I fail to see the basis of how time Q Is it early in the process when the legal department becomes involved MR. UELAND: Objection, instruct MR. UELAND: Objection, instruct MR. UELAND: Don't answer the question. MR. UELAND: Don't answer the question. MS. WOLF: Just let the record reflect that there is no valid basis for the privilege and that we will be asking future Legal will be involved in that early on so we don't end up with a product that's not sealable. (Exhibit 42 is marked for identification) Q Just take a moment to look at it. (Exhibit 42 is marked for identification) Q Just take a moment to look at it. Q A Marketing take a moment to look at it. Q Hus is take a moment to look at it. Q Hus is take a moment to look at it. Q Hus is take a moment to look at it. Q Hus			{ !	-	••
6 MR. UELAND: I think that the point at which they get involved could implicitly reveal legal advice or an attorney-client communication that would effectively reveal the substance of the communication, so my objection and instruction stands. MS. WOLF: I'll ask again. I fail to see the basis of how time — Q Is it early in the process when the legal department becomes involved — MR. UELAND: Objection, instruct MR. UELAND: Objection, instruct MR. UELAND: Don't answer the question. MS. WOLF: Just let the record reflect that there is no valid basis for the privilege and that we will be asking future at which they get involved could implicitly reveal (Exhibit 42 is marked for identification) Q Just take a moment to look at it. (Witness examining document) Q What is Exhibit 42? A Tested benchmark concepts. A Particular benchmark concept? A Particular benchmarks selected? A Particular benchmarks may be selected on the basis of similar features and/or at times different features, although I'm not specifically involved with that on a regular basis. Q - or evaluates a product? MS. WOLF: Just let the record reflect that there is no valid basis for the privilege and that we will be asking future Witnesses similar questions and, if necessary, A I don't know.	- 1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	i	The state of the s	
at which they get involved could implicitly reveal legal advice or an attorney-client communication that would effectively reveal the substance of the communication, so my objection and instruction stands. MS. WOLF: I'll ask again. I fail to see the basis of how time					
legal advice or an attorney-client communication that would effectively reveal the substance of the communication, so my objection and instruction stands. MS. WOLF: I'll ask again. I fail 12	- [•]]	-	
that would effectively reveal the substance of the communication, so my objection and instruction stands. MS. WOLF: I'll ask again. I fail to see the basis of how time Q Is it early in the process when the legal department becomes involved MR. UELAND: Objection, instruct MR. UELAND: Don't answer the question. MR. UELAND: Don't answer the question. MR. WOLF: Just let the record reflect that there is no valid basis for the privilege and that we will be asking future Witnesse samining document) Witness examining document)		, -		·	ĺ
communication, so my objection and instruction stands. MS. WOLF: I'll ask again. I fall to see the basis of how time Q Is it early in the process when the legal department becomes involved MR. UELAND: Objection, instruct MR. UELAND: Don't answer the question. MR. UELAND: Don't answer the question. MS. WOLF: Just let the record reflect that there is no valid basis for the privilege and that we will be asking future witnesses similar questions and, if necessary, A Tested benchmark concepts. Q And what is a benchmark concept? A When you test the concepts of a product. Q How are particular benchmarks selected? A Particular benchmarks may be selected on the basis of similar features and/or at times different features, although I'm not specifically involved with that on a regular basis. Q Who is involved with selecting benchmarks, is that a function of marketing? A Marketing, like a Sean Martin. Q I don't see a date on this document. When was this document made? A I don't know.			1 1	,	
11 stands. 12 MS. WOLF: I'll ask again. I fail 13 to see the basis of how time 14 Q Is it early in the process when the legal department 15 becomes involved 16 MR. UELAND: Objection, instruct 17 In A Tested benchmark concepts. 18 Q or evaluates a product. 19 Q How are particular benchmarks selected? 10 A Particular benchmarks may be selected on the basis of similar features and/or at times different features, although I'm not specifically involved with that on a regular basis. 19 Q who is involved with selecting benchmarks, is that a question. 20 MS. WOLF: Just let the record reflect that there is no valid basis for the privilege and that we will be asking future witnesses similar questions and, if necessary, 24 Witnesses similar questions and, if necessary, 25 MS. Wolf: Just let the record document made? 26 Witnesses similar questions and, if necessary, 27 A I don't know.				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
12 MS. WOLF: I'll ask again. I fail 13 to see the basis of how time 14 Q Is it early in the process when the legal department 15 becomes involved 16 MR. UELAND: Objection, instruct 17 Inim not to answer. 18 Q - or evaluates a product? 19 MR. UELAND: Don't answer the 19 question. 20 Who is involved with selecting benchmarks, is that a question. 21 MS. WOLF: Just let the record 22 Q I don't see a date on this document. When was this privilege and that we will be asking future 23 witnesses similar questions and, if necessary, 24 A I don't know.					
to see the basis of how time Q Is it early in the process when the legal department becomes involved MR. UELAND: Objection, instruct him not to answer. MR. UELAND: Don't answer the question. MR. UELAND: Don't answer the question. MR. Wehen you test the concepts of a product. Q How are particular benchmarks selected? A Particular benchmarks may be selected on the basis of similar features and/or at times different features, although I'm not specifically involved with that on a regular basis. Q - or evaluates a product? MR. UELAND: Don't answer the question. Q Who is involved with selecting benchmarks, is that a function of marketing? A Marketing, like a Sean Martin. Q I don't see a date on this document. When was this document made? Witnesses similar questions and, if necessary, A I don't know.			-	-	
Q Is it early in the process when the legal department becomes involved — MR. UELAND: Objection, instruct him not to answer. Q - or evaluates a product? MR. UELAND: Don't answer the question. MS. WOLF: Just let the record reflect that there is no valid basis for the privilege and that we will be asking future Witnesses similar questions and, if necessary, Q How are particular benchmarks selected? A Particular benchmarks may be selected on the basis of similar features and/or at times different features, although I'm not specifically involved with that on a regular basis. Q Who is involved with selecting benchmarks, is that a function of marketing? A Marketing, like a Sean Martin. Q I don't see a date on this document. When was this document made? A I don't know.		<u> </u>		•	
becomes involved — 15 MR. UELAND: Objection, instruct 16 Similar features and/or at times different features, although I'm not specifically involved with that on a 18 Q or evaluates a product? 18 MR. UELAND: Don't answer the 19 Question. 20 Who is involved with selecting benchmarks, is that a 19 question. 20 MS. WOLF: Just let the record 21 A Marketing, like a Sean Martin. 21 Cylidege and that we will be asking future 22 witnesses similar questions and, if necessary, 24 A I don't know.				• •	
MR. UELAND: Objection, instruct inim not to answer. Q or evaluates a product? MR. UELAND: Don't answer the question. Q Who is involved with selecting benchmarks, is that a function of marketing? A Marketing, like a Sean Martin. Q I don't see a date on this document. When was this document made? Witnesses similar questions and, if necessary, A I don't know.					
17 him not to answer. 18 Q or evaluates a product? 19 MR. UELAND: Don't answer the question. 20 MS. WOLF: Just let the record reflect that there is no valid basis for the privilege and that we will be asking future witnesses similar questions and, if necessary, 17 although I'm not specifically involved with that on a regular basis. 20 Who is involved with selecting benchmarks, is that a function of marketing? A Marketing, like a Sean Martin. 21 Q I don't see a date on this document. When was this document made? 23 A I don't know.					
18 Q or evaluates a product? 19 MR. UELAND: Don't answer the 20 question. 21 MS. WOLF: Just let the record 22 regular basis. 23 Q Who is involved with selecting benchmarks, is that a function of marketing? 24 A Marketing, like a Sean Martin. 25 Q I don't see a date on this document. When was this document made? 26 Q I don't see a date on this document. When was this document made? 27 A I don't know.	- 1	<u>.</u>		•	
MR. UELAND: Don't answer the question. MS. WOLF: Just let the record reflect that there is no valid basis for the privilege and that we will be asking future witnesses similar questions and, if necessary, MR. UELAND: Don't answer the Q Who is involved with selecting benchmarks, is that a function of marketing? A Marketing, like a Sean Martin. Q I don't see a date on this document. When was this document made? A I don't know.			1 1	-	
question. MS. WOLF: Just let the record reflect that there is no valid basis for the privilege and that we will be asking future witnesses similar questions and, if necessary, guestion. A Marketing, like a Sean Martin. Q I don't see a date on this document. When was this document made? A I don't know.				•	İ
21 MS. WOLF: Just let the record 21 A Marketing, like a Sean Martin. 22 reflect that there is no valid basis for the 22 I don't see a date on this document. When was this document made? 23 witnesses similar questions and, if necessary, 24 A I don't know.	- 1		1 1		}
reflect that there is no valid basis for the privilege and that we will be asking future 21 privilege and that we will be asking future 22 privilege and that we will be asking future 23 document made? 24 witnesses similar questions and, if necessary, 24 A I don't know.	- 1	•		· ·	
privilege and that we will be asking future 24 witnesses similar questions and, if necessary, 25 privilege and that we will be asking future 26 A I don't know.					
24 witnesses similar questions and, if necessary, 24 A I don't know.			- 1 1		
	,	,	1 1		1
25 & DO you know for whosh it was made?		•			
		got a taining to trial or other		a bo you know for whom it was illaue!	

A I don't know that it's an official marketing meaning

selling is no secret in the industry. Fact-based

selling entails pulling out facts of what's going on

trademarked to Rayovac or Remington. The fact they're

25 A I guess fact-based probably gets to more of what's

4

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

in the marketplace.

category. Success by one vendor may very well mean 18 that through good category management there is room 19 for more than one vendor and it makes great sense for 20 the consumer choices and the retailer to complete their category. 22 Q It talks about arming the sales force with information. To that end would the sales force be

A Similar to the documents we had gone through before,

provided with written materials?

21

23

24

187 1 1 yes. product? 2 Q Which documents? 2 A Not necessarily. Benchmark just is a benchmark A Document No. 38, examples such as this may have been 3 against which to measure. 4 conveyed to the sales force in a written format. 4 Q Would you measure yourself against something that you Certainly they would have been available, you know, in 5 5 thought was a bad product? 6 a presentation for them. I mean as you read through 6 A Most likely not. 7 this, there are many good selling facts that are good 7 Q There is something called an NPD team. What is the 8 for fact-based selling. NPD team? 9 Q Is the sales force ever given handouts or things to A NPD, that's different from National Panel Diary. NPD 10 leave with retailers --I believe would be new product development. 10 11 A Yeah. 11 Q And who is all on the NPD team? Q - summarizing this? 12 12 A NPD at our organization is a combination of R&D type 13 13 A Yes. people and marketing research type people kind of Q What kinds of things would they be given? 14 14 brought together to learn consumer wants and needs. 15 A Commonly referred to as sell sheets, I believe I saw 15 and so those are the types of people that would be on 16 some examples of those in - examples might be page 28 16 it. I don't know if you want specific names. 17 in Exhibit 38, R 13609. Basically this type of 17 Q Specific names would be great. 18 information may not be exactly this format, but this 18 A Michael Vaszily, Mike, it's V-a-s-z-i-l-l-y, I don't 19 type of information where it shows a picture of the 19 think that's right, but it's close. I'm trying to 20 product, the features of the product, the suggested 20 think of others in that area. Just specific to 21 retails of the product and things like that would be 21 Remington products, I mean Katie Ryan is up there. 1 22 available because as you meet with your buyer, you 22 believe she works on Rayovac products. John Fritz is 23 would want to be able to share with him or her what's 23 in that area. Mark Zander heads it up. Courtney 24 coming forth and have a leave behind for them so they 24 Whittle again I think is focused on Rayovac products 25 don't forget about your product after you leave. last I knew. 186 188 1 Q And are sell sheets something that are distributed by Q Are these people whose sole job it is to be part of 2 corporate, for example, Sean Martin or do your sales 2 the new product development team or do they have other 3 3 representatives make that their own? functions at Rayovac and it's just like a committee 4 A I'm trying to recall if we have an on-line version of 4 that meets? 5 those. I think we went - I can't recall if we went 5 A Those folks' sole purpose in life with our 6 to the strictly on-line version or if we have both 6 organization is to understand and work on developing 7 7 hard copy and on-line versions of those available. new products. 8 Q Where would the on-line versions be available, 8 Q And do they work across all Rayovac products or are 9 somewhere where retailers could go see them? 9 they focused -- you mentioned a couple people who were 10 A No, no, certainly someone in our organization would 10 focused on Remington products? 11 have to -- someone affiliated with Rayovac would have 11 A Michael Vaszily is certainly focused on Remington 12 to get access to those sheets. 12 products. A previous person that used to be in that 13 Q And have all of those sheets been produced to counsel 13 organization who subsequently moved on to a different 14 in this action? 14 role, I don't know if they back rolled her position, A I don't know that answer. but she was also on the Remington products. Courtney 15 15 16 Q Sean Martin or Jim Doyle would know the answer to 16 fast I knew was on Rayovac products as well as Katie, 17 that? They would have access to that information? 17 but that can change. 18 A Assuming they would have access to that information, I 18 Q Rayovac or Remington? 19 don't know that specific sales sheets were requested. 19 A Rayovac, like as in batteries or flashlights. 20 MR. UELAND: I think we have 20 Q So when you say Remington products, those are shaver 21 produced those documents. 21 A Shaver, groomer, dryers, Remington products. A Like I say, it's the type of information that we 22 referred to here in Exhibit 38. Q Clothes shavers? 24 Q When something is considered a benchmark, is that 24 A Exactly, Fuzz-Away. 25 somehow indicated it's considered to be a superior Q To what extent do they drive what new products are

195 1 available in the category. Q So brand loyalty is important in the men's shaving 2 Q And how were the products selected that go into this? 2 industry? A Products would be selected that go into this by the 3 A Yes. 4 marketing management team. 4 Q Would the success of a competitor's product cause you 5 Q So Synovate doesn't select the products, someone at 5 to spend more on advertising, whether it was to build 6 Rayovac selects the products? 6 brand equity or increase brand loyalty, if someone 7 A Someone at Rayovac would select the products. made significant gains of market share or launched a 7 8 Q And how is a concept test then utilized by Rayovac? 8 new successful product, would Rayovac spend more on 9 A Concept test would be utilized to gain insight into 9 advertising in a given market? 10 consumer wants and desires. 10 A I believe we discussed that earlier relative to the 11 Q is it used for new product development? 11 ways to combat competitive situations. A It can be. 12 Q And you would, things like end aisle displays? 12 13 Q Is it used for marketing and advertising purposes? A It is a tool that is available to us. But what did 13 A It can be, although -- it could be. 14 14 you say, things like what? 15 Q What else is it used for? 15 Q The aisle end displays. 16 A Decisions about whether to continue with a product or 16 A Oh, sure, it is a tool that's available to us, like a 17 decisions about which direction to take a product. 17 consumer promotion would be or creating a temporary 18 Q When you say which direction to take a product, what 18 display, and again that advertising should that be 19 chosen as the avenue, the intent is to build Remington. do you mean by that? 19 brand equity and build equity into. If you have 20 A We might have three features that we can put in a 20 shaver. Hypothetically let's say they all cost a 21 21 noticed in our advertisements, it focuses on Titanium. 22 dollar to add. You might ask the consumer which is 22 Q Are there any ads that Remington has used since 2003 23 more important to you, an LCD panel, worldwide voltage 23 that compare its men's shaving products directly with 24 or a pop-up trimmer foil, and does it dramatically 24 those of the competitor? 25 impact your intention to buy this product and so on, 25 A Direct comparison with those of a competitor, i.e., 194 196 1 so forth, so you gain interest in terms of which 1 named competitor? 2 features you ultimately want to leave into the product 2 Q Start with an unnamed competitor. 3 because if worldwide voltage, for example, is not 3 A No, I mean there is no direct comparison of our 4 important to the consumers, then why spend the money 4 products in terms of saying ours does this while 5 on adding that feature into the product. We're not somebody else's does that, 6 going to maximize the consumer value by doing that. 6 Q What do the ads say generally? 7 Q Are these done on a periodic basis or is there 7 A What do the ads say? 8 specific motivation behind them? 8 Q I'll withdraw that. In creating ads, what features of 9 A I don't know. 9 Rayovac's products are focused on? 10 Q Is this something that marketing decides to go forward 10 MR. UELAND: Objection, overbroad. 11 11 A Since the launch of our Titanium line, all of our 12 A Correct. I mean in general keeping in touch with 12 advertisements have had a heavy theme building on the 13 concepts in the marketplace again is not unique or 13 brand equity of Titanium. 14 specific to the shaving category and keeping in touch 14 Q When was the Titanium launched? 15 with consumer perceptions about those concepts. 15 A I don't know that specific date, 2002 or 2003. Q Are advertising and marketing decisions made with an 16 16 Q Would it have been early 2003? 17 eye towards what a competitor is doing in a 17 A Again, I don't know, but the Titanium was in the 18 marketplace? 18 marketplace before the cleaning systems were in the 19 marketplace. The focus of our advertising since the A No. 19 20 Q it's not a factor? 20 Titanium has been in the marketplace has been 21 A I wouldn't say it's not a factor, but it's not the 21 Titanium. 22 Q is the research and development budget affected by the Q What is the focus? 23 introduction by competitors of your products? 24 A The focus is on maximizing our brand equity and A Meaning if competitors come out with a strong new 24 25 building brand loyalty. product offering, do we allocate more money to R&D?

Case 1:03-cv-12 = 20 of 30 Page 20 of 30 Q Yes. A Generally not. Q Are there exceptions to that? A I'm not aware of any. MS. WOLF: If we could just take a quick break, we may be done. I just want to look over my notes and see if there is anything else. (A short recess is taken) MS. WOLF: I actually have no further questions at this time. MR. UELAND: I don't have any questions for the witness either. (4:20 p.m.) STATE OF WISCONSIN) COUNTY OF DANE I, ELIZABETH J. KERNEN, a Notary Public in and for the State of Wisconsin, do hereby certify that the above deposition was taken before me at the offices of Lathrop & Clark, LLP, Attorneys at Law, 740 Regent Street, in the City of Madison, County of Dane and in said State, on May 12, 2005, commencing at 9:00 o'clock a.m.; that it was taken at the request of the plaintiff, upon verbal interrogatories; that it was taken in shorthand by me, a competent court reporter and disinterested person, approved by all parties in interest, and thereafter reduced to writing by me using computer-aided transcription; that said deposition is a true record of the deponent's testimony; that said deposition is to be used in the above-entitled action now pending in United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts; that the appearances were as shown on page 4 of the deposition; that reading and signing was not requested; that the said ALAN SCHOEPP, before examination, was sworn by me to testify the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth relative to said cause. Dated May 20, 2005. Notary Public, State of Wisconsin

approach

	actuals (52:24)(54:2)	(102:23)(103:23)(138:20)(138:21)
	adams (50:5)(50:6)	(162:18)(179:11)
- (59:9)	add (29:20)(145:24)(193:22)	already (19:24)(49:12)(65:18)(67:10)
٨	added (62:9)(71:19)(102:19)(133:9)	(103:16)(107:13)(127:18)
A	_ (172:1)	alter (140:15)
abbreviation (97:13)	adding (165:25)(194:5)	alternative (82:22)
able (23:7)(33:15)(85:16)(86:14)	addition (36:23)(56:5)(133:14)(183:25)	although (51:7)(115:5)(118:24)
(185:23)	additional (37:1)(37:3)(48:6)(58:19)	(127:21)(132:19)(157:5)(167:25)(180:17)
above (4:22)(46:3)(46:16)(46:19)	(60:12)(62:2)(171:11)	(193:14)
(46:22)(49:17)(120:9)(123:24)(144:9)	additionally (80:24)(175:13)	always (20:9)(20:17)(93:12)(119:13)
(153:21)(198:4)	adds (115:18)(166:15)	america (2:4)(2:5)(16:8)(48:11)(48:19)
above-entitled (4:3)(198:16)	adhered (78:24)	(52:2)(52:5)(121:14)
absolutely (100:22)(184:2)(189:9)	administrative (135:16)	american (10:6)(11:1)(12:13)(12:18)
access (25:14)(25:15)(25:18)(25:20)	ads (89:22)(89:25)(90:2)(195:22)	(16:5)(16:15)(26:15)
(27:19)(69:16)(71:22)(75:3)(77:4)(86:12)	(196:6)(196:7)(196:8)	among (54:23)
(117:14)(124:9)(124:17)(154:11)(186:12)	advance (19:2)(19:7)(19:11)(49:14)	amongst (114:2)
(186:17)(186:18)	(129:8)	amount (80:24)(115:15)
accessories (18:1)(97:22)(103:22)	advertised (90:2)	analysis (9:5)(11:14)(16:3)(56:24)
accessory (63:23)	advertisement (90:9)	(58:11)(77:20)
accident (145:10)	advertisements (195:21)(196:12)	analyst (9:17)(9:19)(10:14)(10:15)
according (116:14)(176:14)	advertising (46:11)(89:15)(89:20)	(10:22)(11:4)(11:23)(108:21)(161:11)
	(90:12)(158:5)(158:11)(193:13)(194:16)	analysts (106:6)(137:1)(154:4)
account (23:16)(26:8)(45:8)(160:2)		
(161:4)(161:12)(161:14)(166:16)	(195:5)(195:9)(195:18)(196:19)	analyze (56:16)
accountant (8:23)(8:25)(9:3)(11:16)	advice (178:8)	analyzing (56:15)(116:4)
(189:10)	affect (152:5)	and/or (63:24)(151:2)(180:16)
accounted (21:22)(91:20)(95:11)	affected (128:2)(196:22)	announced (140:4)
accounting (8:2)(11:10)(11:12)(14:11)	affecting (123:11)	annual (38:13)(39:11)(72:9)(73:11)
(21:10)(22:7)(30:24)(33:1)(37:10)(43:18)	affiliated (186:11)	(75:12)(75:21)(77:4)(79:23)(87:16)
(91:13)(91:15)	affirm (73:15)	(104:12)(104:15)(136:8)(174:11)
accounts (44:8)(45:3)(45:5)(45:7)	after (4:21)(7:23)(8:3)(8:5)(8:17)(8:18)	annually (136:3)(174:13)
(45:15)(45:19)(45:22)(91:21)(161:15)	(11:16)(52:9)(60:7)(77:25)(79:17)(79:18)	another (10:7)(24:17)(33:19)(35:21)
accrual (23:24)	(98:15)(107:6)(122:13)(138:10)(138:12)	(36:11)(48:14)(55:16)(68:14)(101:23)
accrue (82:14)	(143:10)(154:13)(176:11)(185:25)	(129:14)(158:23)(158:25)(173:21)
accrued (31:21)(31:22)(32:11)(62:18)	against (47:3)(63:7)(116:12)(133:11)	(182:23)(190:15)
accuracy (52:13)	(148:16)(150:12)(150:17)(150:20)	answer (16:19)(27:17)(34:2)(38:6)
accurate (52:11)(109:15)(109:16)	(157:24)(158:1)(158:2)(169:7)(169:11)	(42:8)(42:25)(52:16)(52:22)(56:3)(68:20)
(112:8)	(174:22)(187:3)(187:4)	(84:19)(84:22)(85:6)(88:7)(92:21)(92:22)
accurately (75:21)	age (4:2)	(101:8)(112:6)(114:13)(146:14)(148:8)
accused (6:16)(15:15)	agencies (44:12)	(150:2)(158:16)(168:14)(177:19)(177:25)
acquired (13:10)(13:12)(17:4)(60:7)	agency (171:13)	(178:17)(178:19)(179:4)(179:15)(179:17)
(135:6)	aggregated (70:3)	(186:15)(186:16)
acquisition (13:14)(18:16)(18:24)	aggressive (157:24)(158:1)(158:2)	answered (58:22)(59:19)(88:5)
(128:7)(142:9)(142:21)(154:13)	aggressively (145:23)(146:21)(146:22)	(163:18)(163:19)
acronym (29:18)(32:22)(152:14)	agree (22:14)	answering (147:16)
across (36:13)(36:19)(36:20)(37:15)	agreed (78:14)	anticipate (50:16)
(39:8)(40:15)(47:7)(54:12)(152:13)	agreement (23:22)	anticipated (53:8)
(152:18)(158:14)(174:6)(188:8)(190:21)	ahead (53:21)(189:24)	anymore (154:21)
action (1:6)(157:25)(158:1)(158:2)	aid (9:12)(68:12)	anytime (72:16)(174:25)
(186:14)(198:16)	air (9:12)	anywhere (31:15)(73:23)(96:11)(189:9)
actions (137:20)(150:11)(150:14)	aisle (195:12)(195:15)	apart (23:21)(127:17)(163:11)
(172:8)	aisles (103:2)(103:6)(103:7)	appear (38:17)(63:10)(74:15)(78:18)
active (63:6)(148:16)	alan (1:14)(4:1)(4:20)(5:9)(198:20)	(79:9)(97:4)(147:6)
actively (146:23)(146:25)	a-l-a-n (5:11)	appearances (198:18)
activity (160:7)	aligned (119:11)	appearing (4:14)(4:17)
actual (30:11)(30:13)(30:15)(71:20)	alkaline (68:11)(74:2)(137:11)(137:12)	appears (27:4)(60:25)(79:23)(86:23)
(91:12)(105:22)(105:23)(125:17)(136:7)	allocate (196:25)	(93:1)(95:7)(104:25)(109:16)(112:7)
	allocated (84:11)	(121:22)(144:17)(170:17)(171:5)(190:5)
(170:3)	allocation (85:20)(85:22)(89:17)(89:19)	
actuality (110:6)	, , , , ,	(191:19)
actually (6:19)(9:7)(14:3)(17:13)	allow (145:25)	appendix (152:21)
(31:13)(31:17)(33:17)(36:13)(39:4)(40:24)	allowance (31:20)	application (171:11)(171:20)
(41:21)(42:14)(45:24)(64:17)(69:2)	allowances (21:15)(46:11)	apply (159:13)
	1 11 1405.4	[
(105:13)(107:3)(112:10)(116:18)(116:23)	allowed (165:4)	applying (22:25)
(141:1)(155:13)(163:2)(170:8)(175:15) (189:21)(197:9)	allowed (165:4) almost (33:6)(47:13)(138:12) along (8:13)(18:1)(65:22)(71:10)(91:6)	applying (22:25) appreciate (55:9)(82:6)(105:10) approach (182:16)(183:4)

appropriate

appropriate (24:8)(56:5)(80:24)(81:17) (107:5)(107:24)(107:25)(108:4)(158:18) (158:20)(159:11) appropriately (106:9)(114:7)(119:11) (127:5)approved (198:12) approximate (112:22)(114:17)(114:22) (114:25)approximately (6:21)(8:8)(11:5)(17:2) (37:23)(61:23)(100:12)(112:14)(112:15) april (12:11)(20:25)(55:18)(55:19)(96:4) archive (94:11) archived (81:24)area (9:18)(77:20)(79:3)(90:22)(91:1) (108:4)(108:9)(108:10)(146:11)(187:20) (187:23)(189:20) areas (98:21)(100:14)(100:16)(155:23) aren't (46:14)argaez (4:12) arise (173:7) (184:22)arming (100:14)(175:23) around arrangement (21:24)(23:6) arrangements (21:18)(47:2) arrive (103:13)(127:13) arrives (127:12)(28:13)article aside (63:23)(65:2)(138:2) asking (47:15)(54:21)(73:8)(127:17) (146:14)(150:18)(178:23)(191:22)(192:4) assemble (80:23)(82:1)(162:21) (162:22)assign (28:5)(82:9) assimilate (119:2) associated (69:7)(71:11)(80:25)(83:6) (84:15)(96:18)(121:19)(170:2)(170:25) association (96:21)(96:22) assume (12:12)(40:18)(47:21)(71:16) (95:24)assumes (68:18) assuming (69:22)(186:18) attached (28:23)(59:18)(81:21) attend (137:2) attention (27:1)(37:12)(46:1)(55:11) (62:16)attorney (148:7)(179:3)(179:7) attorney-client (178:8) attorneys (4:9)(4:13)(4:16)(7:10) (198:6)attributed (53:2) attributes (2:16)(105:1) audience (136:23)(137:23) audiences (137:21) august (59:16)(73:21) authorization (24:9) automate (107:1) automated (107:7)(168:25) available (18:18)(39:16)(81:1)(104:5) (114:19)(184:12)(185:5)(185:22)(186:7) (186:8)(193:1)(195:13)(195:16) avenue (195:19) (112:2)(118:3)(118:7)(118:8) (118:14)(120:17)(120:18)(121:22)(122:6)

(123:5)(123:24)(123:25)

avila (170:8)(170:9)(170:10) (86:19) avili aware (5:12)(6:3)(16:21)(16:23)(17:6) (17:11)(18:12)(23:4)(23:6)(25:8)(35:9) (49:6)(52:9)(52:17)(53:5)(53:20)(53:23) (57:14)(57:16)(58:9)(58:20)(59:7)(60:1) (63:18)(64:5)(67:2)(67:12)(68:4)(68:16) (69:22)(70:1)(70:9)(70:12)(74:1)(74:10) (74:21)(74:25)(76:16)(77:7)(80:1)(80:11) (85:11)(85:16)(89:24)(107:10)(131:15) (142:7)(148:24)(149:15)(150:13)(150:22) (151:3)(151:5)(160:24)(160:25)(161:3) (161:13)(165:18)(167:17)(168:15) (175:24)(197:4) away (26:21)(41:17)

back (7:24)(9:17)(11:4)(12:8)(13:6) (14:17)(24:3)(24:10)(28:23)(31:23)(32:4) (32:6)(34:20)(35:21)(40:9)(40:25)(46:1) (52:10)(56:23)(64:16)(64:18)(75:7)(78:2) (79:6)(79:10)(86:20)(92:11)(94:10) (100:22)(102:9)(107:1)(109:25)(110:12) (111:2)(114:5)(114:18)(116:3)(122:5) (122:10)(125:7)(131:24)(140:21)(141:15) (142:12)(143:13)(143:18)(145:16) (145:17)(159:12)(160:3)(165:9)(165:10) (166:20)(170:7)(182:9)(188:14)(192:21) background (7:20) backup (152:25)(153:1) (21:15)(187:5) bad (14:20)balls (98:9)(98:10) bar (39:25) bare (173:22)(95:15)(148:2)(148:10)(162:10) (162:18)(163:11)(164:11)(164:12) (164:13)(165:4)(170:4)(174:3) based (21:12)(24:15)(50:25)(56:24) (61:9)(66:19)(69:22)(82:5)(92:4)(109:18) (110:10)(141:19)(147:6) basic (156:10) basically (10:5)(17:4)(19:23)(21:15) (31:8)(31:9)(32:14)(63:7)(68:13)(83:16) (107:6)(108:14)(120:9)(120:17)(125:15) (153:18)(162:17)(174:11)(183:11)(185:17) basin (163:8)(163:9)(163:17)(163:22) (163:23)(164:20)(164:21) basis (2:19)(2:20)(25:3)(25:4)(26:24) (34:15)(37:24)(39:11)(42:17)(74:22) (74:23)(74:25)(75:1)(75:3)(76:17)(79:23) (81:8)(84:11)(84:23)(87:13)(87:14)(87:15) (87:16)(88:16)(88:21)(101:10)(140:2) (148:6)(148:8)(148:9)(158:15)(161:2) (165:16)(166:12)(174:11)(177:25) (178:13)(178:22)(180:15)(180:18)(194:7) bates (35:14)(54:9)(64:11)(86:21) batteries (9:12)(68:12)(68:13)(184:5) (188:19)battery (61:4)(61:5)(120:23)(128:19) (128:20)(151:1)(180:2) bear (73:2)(93:7)(115:21)(129:1)(129:3)

bottom (43:12)becker (8:6)becomes (97:5)(178:15) (28:15)beepers begin (79:6) begins (20:18) behalf (4:2)(4:14)(4:17)(6:10) behavior (173:18)(174:4) behind (53:22)(122:4)(122:8)(148:6) (156:12)(158:5)(167:5)(185:24)(194:8) believe (19:13)(36:1)(36:4)(45:21) (59:11)(59:19)(61:23)(63:13)(72:6)(76:23) (82:17)(82:21)(84:6)(85:7)(102:6)(103:22) (103:24)(106:21)(124:18)(126:20) (126:22)(129:13)(132:19)(134:18) (134:21)(139:2)(143:2)(149:17)(160:11) (165:18)(168:6)(168:13)(171:23)(174:13) (177:14)(179:19)(185:15)(187:10) (187:22)(195:10) believed (140:8)(140:9) below (125:19)(140:8)(170:24) benchmark (3:3)(169:11)(180:11) (180:12)(181:20)(186:24)(187:2) benchmarks (180:14)(180:15)(180:19) (181:17)(181:18)(181:25) benefit (15:12)(167:14)(168:19) (171:17)(172:1)(175:16) benefits (61:15)(71:14)(114:1)(114:19) (115:20)(122:13)(122:15)(123:22)(127:6) (127:23)(128:13)(129:6)(129:20)(145:1) (145:25)(162:2)(167:9)(174:20) besides (18:1)(58:4)(68:9)(100:2) best (51:2)(51:6)(65:23)(66:1)(66:8) (67:3)(67:4)(67:5)(67:9)(71:10)(79:11) (86:5)(86:17)(101:22)(101:23)(109:5) (112:23)(118:5)(122:14)(143:21)(144:2) (144:4)(144:5)(162:6)(184:16) better (65:23)(66:1)(67:4)(71:10) (122:14)(143:20)(144:2)(145:6)(145:20) (175:16)beyond (89:17)(165:24) big (5:18)(9:2) bigger (153:24)(153:25) biggest (14:15)(14:17) bilingual (49:2) bill (109:25)(111:2) binder (155:12) binders (155:4)(155:7)(155:10) (155:13)(156:2) bit (7:21)(23:1)(27:22)(64:11) black (114:11) blade (174:5)blank (38:17)(170:4) blanking (133:16) (17:3)(33:1)(86:5)(191:5)(191:7) board bonus (28:22)(28:24)(29:2)(47:13) (47:24)

book (23:23)

boston (4:14)

bother (93:24)

boss (101:23)(135:1)

(163:13)(163:15)(177:4)

bottle (76:14)(76:15)(162:19)(163:10)

bottom (29:13)(29:15)(37:5)(50:4)

(12:17)(15:10)(17:6)(43:7)

bearing (74:18)(93:9)

<u>became</u>

bought

(55:17)(64:21)(70:23)(170:18) bought (24:25)(42:4)(94:10)(142:12) box (76:13)(76:15)(80:22)(82:23) (103:15)brand (16:6)(56:20)(58:14)(65:10) (90:25)(91:3)(125:5)(131:21)(133:15) (134:22)(137:11)(137:12)(147:5)(194:24) (194:25)(195:1)(195:6)(195:20)(196:13) brands (39:3)(137:1)(137:4)(137:9) (155:14)(155:15) braun (1:4)(4:3)(118:2)(120:10) (120:13)(131:2)(142:2)(148:2)(148:10) (148:16)(151:9)(152:6)(160:23)(167:15) (167:18)(170:5)(170:6)(171:1)(171:2) (171:14)(171:21)(172:3)(181:22)(181:24) (183:10)(191:25)(192:23) braun's (130:12)(134:9)(134:14) (134:16)(169:7)(169:19)(169:21)(171:4) (171:16)(172:23)(181:16)(191:6) break (34:21)(35:2)(77:24)(92:6) (104:20)(166:17)(197:6) breakdown (92:14) breaks (88:21) bring (14:6)(32:4)(103:1)(120:18) (133:4)(133:13) brings (120:14) broad (174:3) broadened (13:16) broker (44:3)(44:5)(44:6)(84:5)(88:8) (88:10)(88:13) **brought** (7:14)(14:3)(150:14)(150:16) (161:16)(161:17)(171:12)(187:14) bruce (50:5)(50:6) brush (136:7) brushed (136:5) buckets (38:13) bucks (121:1)(126:18) budget (9:4)(11:14)(86:9)(86:12) (86:15)(89:9)(89:11)(89:13)(89:15)(89:20) (90:13)(196:22) budgeting (85:20)(85:22) build (93:17)(151:20)(195:5)(195:19) (195:20)building (55:9)(156:14)(194:25) (196:12)built (94:21) bulk (102:16) bump (41:11)(102:24) bunch (133:17)(191:5) **button** (109:11) buy (18:6)(19:21)(159:9)(163:17) (163:20)(163:21)(163:23)(181:12)(191:3) buyer (160:17)(160:18)(185:22) buying (122:6) buys (31:24)(109:10)

cabinet (136:16) calculate (108:1) calculation (79:24) calendar (31:2)(33:6)(33:7)(42:13) call (20:3)(31:12)(32:19)(44:14)(87:11) called (4:21)(17:25)(19:17)(57:8)

cleaner/charger

(57:14)(66:10)(70:24)(105:3)(105:13) (117:17)(120:21)(147:19)(152:21)(172:7) (182:15)(187:7) calling (45:5)(45:6)(45:8) calls (158:13)

came (12:8)(15:18)(17:3)(40:14)(53:1) (69:13)(73:4)(90:12)(94:16)(106:24) (123:2)(142:25)(148:9)(165:7)(170:10) canada (11:21)(12:6)(48:11)(48:12)

(48:13)(48:20)(48:21)(48:23) canadian (29:19)(60:15)(60:19) cannot (33:18)(73:14)(76:18) cap (103:3)(103:5)(103:11)

capacity (15:10)

cardboard (41:11)(76:13)(76:15)

(102:13)(102:23) cards (148:13) care (68:11)(98:1) carries (31:14)

carry (44:12)(90:25)(115:24)(116:2)

(154:23)cart (102:24) carton (87:5)

cartridge (176:4)(176:13)(176:15) (176:17)(176:24)(177:1)(177:7)(177:10)

carts (41:12) cascade (167:9)

case (15:15)(20:9)(21:21)(21:23)(22:2) (22:25)(29:22)(36:20)(62:12)(63:17)

(102:15)(117:9)(144:2)(149:4) cases (46:11)(105:11) cash (31:6)(31:18)(31:19)

categories (45:12)(65:25)(66:22)(67:5) (67:6)(71:13)(83:10)(98:5)(98:12)(99:19) (101:18)(118:4)(146:1)(146:3)(146:4)

(146:5)

categorizing (71:12)

category (67:3)(67:9)(83:12)(84:11) (85:8)(118:2)(118:6)(118:9)(118:13) (118:17)(118:21)(118:22)(119:4)(119:7) (119:20)(119:22)(120:1)(120:13)(120:15) (122:14)(130:1)(132:15)(151:1)(155:18) (158:5)(158:23)(158:25)(159:25)(160:17) (160:19)(173:17)(174:7)(174:13)(184:1) (184:4)(184:6)(184:7)(184:13)(184:14) (184:17)(184:18)(184:21)(193:1)(194:14) cause (4:3)(4:22)(119:24)(122:11)

(195:4)(198:22) causes (53:6) caution (148:5)

cdn (29:18)(48:21)(48:23)(48:24)

celebration (153:25)

cells (9:13)

center (57:9)(57:12)(58:8)(63:20) (64:4)(70:8)(70:11)(70:25)(72:10)(74:9) (74:14)(75:24)(80:3)(81:13)(89:9)(89:22) (89:25)(90:16)(90:22)(91:4)(93:25)(94:5) (94:7)(94:19)(94:20)(94:25)(97:13)(97:15) (99:6)(100:1)(102:2)(102:3)(113:5)(113:9) (114:14)(115:13)(122:19)(123:2)(159:13)

(160:10)(162:9)(181:16)

centralized (26:13)

centers (97:14)(99:9)(99:11)(99:13) (100:3)(101:18)(101:20)

cents (41:20)

certain (20:13)(41:13)(44:8)(45:3) (65:23)(82:19)(98:3)(99:2)(101:19) (110:18)(126:10)(132:12)(134:18) (134:21)(136:5)(153:21)(155:23)(167:11) (175:8)

certainly (25:24)(33:6)(33:8)(52:25) (72:5)(81:3)(84:12)(87:5)(120:5)(130:3) (130:8)(135:17)(138:11)(138:13)(144:6) (146:19)(147:16)(148:17)(149:24)

(154:13)(155:24)(161:2)(161:9)(173:16) (185:5)(186:10)(188:11)

certify (198:4) chain (13:5)(29:20)(62:8) challenges (14:15)(14:17)

change (13:13)(21:18)(33:3)(113:11) (113:13)(120:3)(123:25)(126:23)(126:24) (127:3)(139:22)(163:4)(188:17)

changed (10:16)(10:17)(32:17)(43:17)

(65:13)(65:15)(126:25) changes (112:24)(113:4)(113:6)

changing (139:24)(139:25)(140:1) (141:16)

channel (29:10)(161:17)

channels (29:13)(29:14)(107:23) (161:17)

characteristics (39:2) characters (29:21)(96:3)(96:5) charge (58:16)(109:17)(130:12) (134:16)(134:24)

charged (16:5)(106:1)(111:10)(111:12)

(169:2)

charges (82:4)(82:5) charging (131:3)

chart (82:12)(120:17)(144:8)(190:11)

(190:12)(190:13)(190:14) charter (100:19) check (94:20)(159:12) checkpoint (28:11)(59:23)

chicago (4:16) choice (171:6)(184:13) choices (120:2)(184:20)

choose (87:1) chose (63:4)(144:8)

chosen (171:10)(171:15)(195:19) circumstances (105:12)(112:4) (179:20)(179:22)(179:24)

cite (53:12)

city (198:7)civil (1:6) claim (180:4) claiming (171:17)

claims (151:2)(170:2)(170:25)(171:6)

(171:9)(171:10)(182:6) clarification (94:5) clarify (179:11) clark (4:8)(198:6)

classification (39:1)(69:11)(82:11) (82:12)

clean (130:12)(134:16)(167:23) cleaned (165:2)(169:2)

cleaner (67:12)(70:2)(166:22)(167:14) (171:8)

cleaner/charger (3:1)

cleaners

cleaners (167:12)cleaning (2:5)(17:21)(18:10)(26:22) (28:4)(33:11)(57:7)(57:8)(57:12)(57:21) (58:8)(58:19)(58:24)(63:19)(63:24)(64:4) (68:17)(68:22)(70:8)(70:11)(70:24)(71:1) (72:9)(74:9)(74:14)(74:17)(74:18)(75:5) (75:23)(75:24)(76:9)(76:22)(80:3)(80:7) (80:21)(80:22)(81:13)(83:4)(86:24)(89:9) (89:12)(89:22)(89:25)(90:3)(90:10)(90:16) (90:21)(91:2)(91:4)(93:25)(94:5)(94:7) (94:9)(94:19)(94:20)(94:25)(95:15)(97:23) (102:1)(102:3)(113:5)(113:7)(113:9) (114:14)(114:25)(115:13)(115:15) (115:18)(115:23)(119:6)(121:19)(122:19) (123:2)(123:12)(128:17)(131:3)(132:9) (139:8)(140:5)(141:18)(148:2)(148:10) (151:10)(152:6)(159:13)(160:10)(162:9) (162:10)(162:18)(162:19)(162:20) (162:24)(162:25)(163:5)(163:7)(163:8) (163:10)(163:11)(163:14)(163:17) (163:22)(163:23)(164:10)(164:11) (164:12)(164:13)(164:20)(164:21) (164:24)(165:1)(165:4)(165:13)(165:21) (165:25)(166:12)(166:24)(167:6)(167:7) (168:1)(168:4)(168:23)(169:19)(169:21) (170:3)(170:4)(171:7)(172:1)(172:17) (172:20)(173:5)(176:4)(176:5)(176:22) (176:25)(177:1)(177:7)(177:10)(181:15) (182:11)(183:12)(183:13)(183:16) (191:15)(196:18) cleaning/charging (169:1) clear (88:23) clearly (14:18)(67:14) close (72:7)(105:17)(187:19) closed (13:22) closeness (32:2)(128:22) closeout (29:14) closer (16:17) clothes (98:7)(98:8)(98:10)(98:11) (188:23)code (37:10) coinciding (190:8) collaborative (51:14)(147:23)(154:6) colleague (5:7) collect (124:10) collection (115:19) collects (32:5) (7:22)(8:17)(8:18) college colors (87:5)(170:23) column (30:7)(31:4)(36:11)(38:14) (38:18)(39:8)(40:19)(41:1)(41:24)(42:14) (42:23)(43:3)(43:21)(46:2)(70:23)(88:18) (96:15)(97:12)(98:15)(109:13)(191:4) columns (38:17)(40:16) combat (160:7)(195:11) combination (42:4)(45:6)(45:16) (50:23)(136:22)(187:12) combined (16:16) come (18:19)(19:2)(19:8)(25:15) (31:22)(40:25)(66:14)(66:22)(85:20) (101:15)(106:22)(107:4)(107:9)(108:17)

(108:18)(134:16)(138:18)(157:21) (164:20)(170:6)(170:9)(171:3)(184:3)

(189:6)(189:7)(189:9)(196:24)

comes (24:3)(40:9)(42:3)(88:23) (107:11)(107:12)(109:8)(109:11)(111:2) (148:6)(163:10) comfort (128:22) comfortable (147:12) coming (140:4)(153:19)(162:3)(185:24) command (67:8) commencing (4:10)(198:8) comment (79:1) comments (170:24) commercial (90:11) commission (44:17) commissioned (174:9)(192:18) commissions (44:3)(44:5)(44:6)(84:5) (88:8)(88:10)(88:14) committed (38:12) committee (188:3) common (72:4)(99:6)(158:9) commonly (185:15) communicate (153:10)(156:9) communicated (150:23)(159:9) communicates (75:5)communication (26:3)(178:4)(178:8) (178:10)communications (147:2)(148:7) companies (32:18)(32:20)(120:8) (138:12)(155:15) company (14:2)(17:4)(18:17)(32:20) (42:5)(42:19)(43:10)(45:10)(60:7)(94:10) (100:3)(121:4)(125:16)(128:6)(134:25) (137:9)(138:1)(142:13)(148:23)(154:15) (167:2)(174:25)(177:15) company's (17:11)(25:20)(36:16) compare (116:18)(116:21)(169:18) (195:23)compared (169:20) comparing (54:1) comparison (172:22)(182:4)(182:7) (195:25)(196:3) comparisons (183:3) compatible (164:21) compete (158:22)(158:24)(160:23) competent (198:11) competition (116:15) competitive (120:4)(131:2)(150:20) (160:7)(162:1)(174:2)(195:11) competitor (116:6)(116:9)(120:6) (120:14)(130:5)(130:9)(130:10)(130:24) (134:12)(150:17)(159:20)(159:22) (159:24)(161:5)(173:13)(173:20)(173:22) (174:8)(194:17)(195:24)(195:25)(196:1) competitors (118:9)(118:15)(118:16) (120:7)(121:4)(124:15)(130:6)(130:7) (150:25)(151:1)(181:23)(196:23)(196:24) competitor's (127:9)(174:15)(174:17) (183:23)(195:4) competitors' (173:24)(182:7) compile (124:8)(124:14)(124:15) complete (6:17)(14:7)(184:6)(184:7) (184:20)

consumers component (160:20) (80:21)(83:17)(128:20) components compound (73:7) computer (28:5)(62:9)(106:14) computer-aided (198:13) computers (29:24) con (43:22) concentrated (71:4)(71:6) concept (3:6)(119:12)(119:13)(131:10) (167:25)(168:3)(168:16)(168:19)(168:22) (168:24)(169:3)(169:4)(171:25)(180:12) (181:18)(181:19)(183:13)(183:14) (183:17)(191:5)(191:7)(192:7)(192:9) (192:11)(192:13)(192:14)(192:16) (192:17)(192:20)(192:22)(193:8)(193:9) concepts (3:3)(180:11)(180:13) (181:20)(191:21)(192:25)(194:13)(194:15) concern (165:19) concerned (99:25)(100:21)(101:17) (180:4)concerns (175:22) conclude (39:20)(123:13)(123:16) conclusions (57:3) conditioner (28:25) conditioning (171:18)(172:1) conducting (191:17) confident (75:20) confidential (22:23)(23:2) configuration (102:16) configured (107:19) confused (146:14)(146:15)(162:12) (166:9)congratulations (8:15) conscious (145:22) consequently (32:21)(53:8)(67:8) (82:14)(118:6)(152:23)(155:16)(165:2) consider (74:13)(75:12)(88:3)(89:2) considerably (100:23) consideration (171:21)(171:24) considered (41:5)(47:12)(57:24) (61:17)(61:19)(66:6)(66:8)(66:17)(77:11) (77:15)(129:23)(130:2)(130:6)(130:9) (130:10)(130:23)(132:11)(134:9)(134:13) (140:23)(141:22)(143:2)(164:18)(165:16) (167:18)(173:14)(186:24)(186:25) considering (139:24)(139:25)(140:1) consistent (71:13)(76:6)(79:7)(115:2) (115:4)(115:6)(115:7)(115:9) constant (52:12) consumer (24:19)(24:20)(24:22) (24:24)(25:6)(25:9)(29:6)(30:1)(31:8) (31:24)(37:6)(37:20)(41:13)(46:15)(53:7) (53:14)(61:18)(67:6)(86:5)(97:9)(104:6) (115:24)(116:1)(119:17)(120:2)(120:15) (122:15)(124:11)(131:8)(158:4)(159:3) (159:18)(160:3)(162:21)(163:12)(168:20) (171:17)(173:10)(173:16)(173:18) (173:24)(174:4)(175:16)(182:8)(184:20) (187:14)(191:1)(191:2)(193:10)(193:22) (194:6)(194:15)(195:17) consumer and (46:23) consumers (19:20)(24:25)(115:20) (118:19)(122:5)(122:13)(152:1)(159:9)

(160:20)(168:18)(184:7)(184:13)(192:16)

completed (8:12)(14:8)(139:2)

complications (166:4)(171:15)

complication (171:17)

consumer's

(192:24)(194:4) consumer's (61:14)(181:11) contact (44:14)(44:18)(44:19) (108:4)contacts contain (106:15) container (176:5) contains (36:22)(114:1) contemplated (176:13) contemplating (141:18) contingencies (151:10)(152:6) continue (113:16)(145:24)(181:16) (193:16)continuing (167:8) contractor (80:23) contractors (81:25)contrasted (182:24) contribution (37:7)(40:25)(41:3) (43:22)(43:24)(43:25)(46:19)(49:16) (49:19)(49:21)(77:13)(88:19)(88:24) (88:25)(89:2)(114:24) controller (9:10)(9:18)(9:20)(11:1) (11:21)(12:18)(12:23)(13:2)(13:7)(13:17) (16:5)(94:24) convenience (128:22) convenient (168:1) converted (42:15) conveyed (111:24)(185:4) conveys (144:17) co-op (46:11) coordinates (144:4) copies (5:19)(7:13)(7:15) copy (5:24)(154:22)(155:4)(175:4) (175:13)(175:21)(186:7) cord (162:18) corded (66:23) cordless (66:23)(128:15) core (30:6)(47:11)(47:12)(102:19) corner (50:5)(55:17)(95:24) corporate (186:2) corporation (1:7)(4:4)(6:10)correct (7:12)(12:4)(12:9)(13:19)(18:5) (20:19)(20:22)(21:4)(27:23)(27:24)(28:2) (30:10)(33:14)(35:5)(37:18)(37:22)(38:16) (38:18)(38:23)(39:9)(39:10)(39:13)(39:15) (40:1)(42:19)(43:4)(43:5)(43:8)(43:10) (43:11)(43:13)(43:19)(43:23)(47:8)(47:9) (47:11)(47:19)(48:7)(49:18)(55:24)(57:5) (57:23)(59:14)(59:21)(61:2)(63:9)(63:13) (66:7)(66:9)(66:15)(67:15)(69:25)(72:23) (72:24)(73:20)(75:22)(79:20)(79:21) (79:24)(79:25)(83:3)(83:14)(85:21)(85:24) (85:25)(86:11)(87:12)(87:23)(88:12) (89:16)(92:16)(92:18)(96:17)(97:24) (103:21)(108:5)(108:7)(115:10)(118:18) (122:22)(122:25)(123:3)(123:4)(124:7) (125:22)(125:24)(125:25)(126:1)(133:8) (141:5)(164:16)(164:17)(166:14)(170:14) (170:15)(170:20)(172:20)(172:23)(191:9) (191:12)(191:13)(191:24)(192:1)(194:12) correctly (110:10) cost (8:23)(8:24)(11:9)(11:11)(11:13) (11:16)(16:3)(36:18)(37:3)(37:6)(40:20) (40:21)(40:22)(41:4)(41:5)(41:7)(41:8)

(41:9)(41:15)(41:21)(41:23)(41:25)(46:17)

(49:22)(80:18)(80:19)(82:9)(82:14)(83:13) (83:17)(84:1)(84:2)(86:23)(86:24)(87:10) (87:18)(91:16)(93:6)(93:9)(93:12)(93:13) (93:16)(93:18)(93:22)(93:23)(95:11) (115:18)(115:22)(115:25)(118:20) (125:11)(190:22)(190:23)(193:21) cost of (40:18) costs (9:4)(76:25)(77:8)(77:15)(78:8) (80:12)(80:13)(82:10)(83:9)(83:16)(83:18) (83:22)(83:23)(84:8)(84:9)(84:14)(85:2) (85:3)(85:4)(85:9)(85:12)(85:14)(86:8) (87:11)(87:15)(87:21)(88:3)(88:11)(88:15) (91:8)(91:9)(91:11)(91:12)(91:16)(92:2) (92:3)(93:10)(94:1)(104:16) counsel (34:11)(34:13)(49:5)(92:14) (92:15)(92:17)(125:4)(131:16)(131:18) (141:2)(179:8)(186:13) count (21:6)(21:7)(24:14)(24:17) (62:12)counterparts (137:5) countries (49:3) country (82:13) county (198:2)(198:7) couple (10:11)(105:18)(128:10)(172:5) (188:9)coupon (28:23)(159:8) coupons (159:7) course (8:7)(19:19)(53:25)(74:5) (179:25)(180:1) court (1:1)(4:5)(198:11)(198:17) courtney (187:23)(188:15) cover (69:5)(69:6)(69:10)(72:19)(80:25) covered (10:2) covers (24:6) cpa (8:5)(8:7) create (50:12)(50:13)(51:23)(71:22) (73:14)(76:20)(132:17)(133:21)(151:15) (158:4)(164:19) created (63:14)(63:16)(135:10) (135:23)(135:24)(149:10) creates (135:25) creating (50:19)(51:17)(51:18)(77:1) (102:13)(195:17)(196:8) creation (61:13) credit (24:11)(32:5) critical (132:10)(132:11)(132:14) (132:15)crossed (99:2)(99:5) cue (107:2) curious (22:25)(179:23) current (10:25)(11:7)(15:25)(19:1) (58:18)(70:13)(123:5) currently (55:23)(56:6)(70:8)(100:18) customer (9:21)(9:22)(10:8)(14:22) (21:14)(24:6)(31:7)(31:23)(37:7)(41:4) (41:5)(41:8)(41:9)(41:23)(41:25)(43:22) (43:24)(43:25)(44:1)(44:2)(44:4)(44:15) (44:20)(44:24)(46:14)(46:18)(46:19) (46:20)(46:21)(46:24)(47:4)(49:16)(49:19) (49:21)(49:22)(63:3)(84:4)(84:6)(87:22) (87:24)(88:1)(88:2)(88:25)(89:1)(89:2) (102:18)(105:11)(107:14)(107:17) (107:21)(108:4)(108:9)(108:10)(108:12) <u>(108:14)(108:17)(109:3)(109:7)(111:19)</u>

(114:24)(149:23)(151:6) customers (10:2)(10:4)(10:7)(10:19) (10:21)(18:15)(18:22)(21:12)(21:18) (22:12)(22:17)(26:20)(31:16)(31:17) (37:20)(39:22)(40:2)(44:14)(45:13)(45:23) (46:10)(47:1)(50:17)(51:10)(51:11)(74:11) (74:12)(74:14)(74:15)(74:16)(83:21) (83:24)(83:25)(91:23)(100:21)(105:16) (105:24)(106:1)(106:8)(106:19)(109:17) (161:16)customer's (29:11)(97:4)(107:22) (108:2)customs (82:11) **cut** (40:19)(48:14)(67:22)(111:19) cutoff (30:24) cutoffs (30:21)cuts (36:18) cutter (104:9) cutters (104:4)cycle (43:18)

defendant

D

(68:4)daily dalila (4:12)(5:7)dane (198:2)(198:7) (27:12)(27:17)(36:19)(39:5) (48:14)(57:4)(67:22)(72:16)(73:10)(73:13) (96:23)(106:14)(108:6)(108:11)(108:12) (108:14)(108:15)(108:17)(108:24) (111:19)(123:7)(124:4)(124:5)(124:10) (124:11)(124:13)(124:15)(124:17) (124:19)(124:21)(125:2)(140:5) (1:19)(14:8)(17:1)(18:20)(33:5) (50:3)(55:18)(71:16)(72:14)(72:15)(72:18) (78:4)(78:20)(78:21)(96:4)(180:22) (191:10)(196:15) dated (2:13)(2:14)(2:19)(2:20)(2:22) (3:4)(3:6)(55:6)(72:6)(153:8)(189:22) (191:9)(198:23) dates (30:19)(78:7)(78:9)(142:12) day (15:8)(110:11)(110:25)(111:4) (114:21)(114:25)(115:19)(138:17) day-to-day (15:10) deadline (49:15)(159:11) deal (5:18)(13:22)(110:19) deals (9:21)(22:6)(22:11)(22:14) debts (21:15)december (8:10)(20:21)(62:20)(159:5) decide (93:15) decided (56:4)(164:6)(164:18) decides (194:10) deciding (173:12) decision (56:10)(56:19)(58:10)(58:13) (87:6)(93:20)(113:23)(113:24)(140:13) (140:14)(142:4)(143:11)(145:22)(147:4) (147:5)(164:3)(177:6)(177:9) decisions (181:17)(193:16)(193:17) (194:16)dedicated (16:19)(108:10) deductions (9:22) deemed (149:3) defeats (164:11)(164:13) defend (157:24)(158:2)

defendant (1:8)(4:4)(4:17)

during

defending

defending (157:25) define (15:16)(65:14)(144:8) defined (181:10) defines (144:7)(184:11) definitely (31:1)(93:23) definition (32:20)(32:23)(100:24) (123:24)(176:9)(176:15) definitively (71:25) deflate (120:12) deflation (119:23) deflationary (118:2)(118:17)(118:18) (118:22)(119:4)(119:7)(119:20)(120:1) degree (7:25)(8:1)(8:12)(88:14) (100:20)degrees (8:4) deliver (167:10)(177:4) delivery (107:4) demand (131:11)department (166:21)(166:23)(177:18) (177:23)(178:14)(189:7) depending (53:12)(63:1)(100:24) (117:10)(161:14) depends (19:4)(21:24)(65:14)(93:8) (144:12) deponent's (198:15) **deposition** (1:13)(2:3)(4:1)(5:13)(6:13) (23:19)(27:8)(56:2)(134:8)(198:5)(198:14) (198:15)(198:19) depositions (7:17) depreciation (93:11) described (15:15)(127:11)(137:13) (154:2)(171:22) describes (182:15) (2:16)(102:24)(105:1) describing description (29:24)(81:22) design (3:1)(145:10)(165:19)(165:24) (166:1)(166:13)(166:15)(175:23)(177:15) (179:3)designate (69:4)(102:11) designated (6:3)(84:18)(117:18) (134:5)(166:8)(177:13) designed (137:21)(164:2)(165:12) designing (175:5) designs (177:14) desirable (144:10) desires (193:10) detail (23:17)(27:14)(35:23)(71:5) (71:7)(104:17)(117:10)(117:12) detailed (15:12) details (149:24) determination (129:5) determine (108:5)(116:1) determined (129:3) determining (33:22) develop (85:23)(86:5)(87:8)(144:15) (145:24)(160:18)(173:12) developed (146:2)(189:1) developing (138:3)(138:4)(173:19) (188:6)development (13:4)(84:14)(84:15) (85:4)(85:9)(85:12)(85:14)(86:8)(86:9) (86:15)(131:6)(131:14)(131:23)(133:2) (133:3)(133:5)(166:22)(168:4)(168:12) (169:6)(169:14)(169:18)(177:17)(177:22)

(179:25)(180:1)(181:15)(187:10)(188:2) (189:4)(189:8)(189:13)(189:25)(190:1) (193:11)(196:22) diary (187:9) dictate (110:22)(126:4)(126:14) dictating (126:15) differ (12:24)(30:15)(31:9)(45:2) (137:15)(151:13) difference (21:9)(30:2)(47:23)(61:6) (61:12)(62:12)(64:20)(72:11)(102:25) differences (14:10)(66:21) different (2:16)(10:11)(11:24)(11:25) (22:7)(28:21)(32:20)(39:2)(46:2)(52:23) (52:24)(61:3)(62:14)(64:24)(66:22)(68:7) (71:11)(73:10)(86:25)(100:13)(108:2) (113:12)(116:23)(117:18)(118:25) (121:23)(123:22)(137:21)(144:25)(145:1) (146:1)(146:12)(146:15)(149:12)(153:14) (153:16)(158:3)(170:23)(175:19)(180:16) (187:9)(188:13)(190:2)(192:22) differentiate (62:10) differentiating (62:11) differently (16:19)(64:12)(149:8) (149:19)difficult (14:25)(75:12)(118:21) difficulties (166:13) dig (111:15) direct (37:11)(45:4)(45:21)(62:16) (175:12)(175:22)(182:4)(182:6)(195:25) (196:3)directing (46:1)(55:11) direction (60:8)(108:21)(135:25) (137:17)(137:19)(149:1)(193:17)(193:18) directive (146:23) directly (15:22)(44:23)(56:13)(68:15) (74:17)(135:8)(142:20)(152:2)(159:21) (159:23)(171:7)(190:18)(195:23) director (65:9)(134:22) discarded (163:6)(163:15) discernible (37:9) discount (31:19)(119:17) discounts (31:18)(105:19)(105:20) (109:19)(110:5) discrepancy (53:2)(72:13) discussed (66:12)(84:6)(116:3) (195:10)discussing (6:18)(84:5)(191:21) discussion (34:19)(83:15)(101:24) (172:23)discussions (6:14)(122:5)(127:22) (128:3)(164:7) disinterested (198:11) display (103:16)(195:18) displays (41:11)(42:1)(195:12)(195:15) distinction (60:2)(61:9)(91:13)(156:5) distributed (186:1) distribution (19:20)(29:11)(83:18) (83:19)(83:20)(83:22)(83:23)(84:8)(85:3) (87:21)(88:3)(88:11)(88:13)(91:9)(91:11) (91:16)(91:23)(92:2) distributor (84:6) district (1:1)(1:2)(4:5)(4:6)(198:17) division (91:8)(134:24)(153:20) document (2:6)(2:11)(2:12)(2:16)

(5:17)(5:19)(5:23)(5:24)(25:6)(25:8) (25:12)(26:18)(27:2)(27:5)(27:9)(27:21) (35:1)(35:6)(35:18)(47:18)(48:10)(49:13) (49:24)(50:1)(54:15)(55:3)(55:8)(59:13) (63:12)(64:9)(65:19)(69:21)(70:16)(70:17) (72:6)(73:18)(74:1)(78:10)(78:22)(86:21) (86:22)(86:23)(88:20)(89:6)(92:1)(92:6) (92:13)(92:19)(92:25)(95:4)(95:5)(95:10) (95:18)(95:19)(95:20)(98:17)(99:15) (104:24)(104:25)(116:25)(117:1)(117:4) (117:7)(117:23)(124:22)(130:17)(135:11) (135:12)(140:24)(141:9)(147:11)(147:13) (153:4)(153:5)(153:7)(153:9)(154:5) (156:24)(156:25)(157:1)(157:2)(157:10) (157:16)(157:18)(161:19)(161:20)(168:7) (168:9)(169:24)(171:5)(172:6)(176:10) (176:20)(176:21)(180:9)(180:22)(180:23) (181:2)(182:13)(185:3)(189:19)(190:7) (190:9)(190:15)(192:3)(192:20) documentation (6:15)(159:11) documented (77:3) documents (6:18)(6:23)(7:3)(7:4)(7:6) (7:14)(26:19)(35:19)(73:14)(74:8)(74:20) (76:24)(80:1)(80:2)(80:5)(81:2)(81:5) (81:6)(87:19)(88:12)(104:10)(111:24) (116:24)(125:4)(141:2)(156:3)(157:4) (184:25)(185:2)(186:21) doesn't (61:11)(63:9)(98:11)(102:18) (152:4)(184:15)(193:5) doing (10:15)(50:21)(110:20)(174:13) (183:2)(194:6)(194:17) dollar (71:18)(72:1)(193:22) dollars (24:13)(77:19)(112:1) done (39:11)(42:17)(49:10)(50:2) (52:9)(61:10)(75:1)(83:5)(83:7)(91:13) (142:9)(145:6)(145:7)(145:20)(155:8) (159:16)(159:19)(159:21)(159:23) (160:16)(168:4)(194:7)(197:6) down (15:7)(29:3)(29:15)(36:5)(36:15) (36:17)(36:21)(37:15)(39:24)(86:7) (101:19)(101:25)(103:2)(103:6)(103:21) (109:7)(118:9)(118:10)(118:12)(118:19) (119:3)(120:18)(132:9)(138:16)(138:22) (149:9)download (155:17) doyle (6:16)(134:23)(134:24)(135:3) (135:8)(135:17)(138:21)(142:16)(157:20) (162:5)(170:20)(181:4)(186:16) dramatically (193:24) drive (19:7)(118:5)(120:5)(159:2) (159:3)(188:25) driven (118:2)(120:12)(133:5) driver (79:4) drivers (14:24)(128:24)(156:12) driving (24:19)(118:13)(123:17) (132:21)(133:2)(133:3)(173:18)(175:1) (175:3)(175:6)(175:8) drop (14:20)(24:13) dropped (122:2)(122:3)(159:5) dryers (184:6)(188:22) dual (66:24) due (105:11) duly (4:22) during (13:23)(13:24)(23:25)(30:13)

duties

(30:20)(33:6)(33:7)(42:1)(42:3)(59:11) enters (127:4)

(60:25)(121:23)(136:20)(142:18)(160:12) duties (13:14)(13:16)

duty (80:25)(82:4)(82:5)(82:10)(82:13)

(82:14)

earlier (41:18)(59:2)(84:4)(102:14) (103:23)(119:15)(122:5)(143:18)(143:20) (154:2)(162:7)(168:13)(170:13)(195:10) early (72:20)(178:14)(180:5)(196:16)

earn (46:10) easiest (26:23) east (4:16)

edi (106:12)(106:22)(107:9)(107:11)

(107:12)

education (15:2)(15:4) educational (7:21)

effect (178:25) effective (159:17) effectively (178:9) efficient (44:9)

effort (52:12)(135:13)(147:23)(154:6) (171:19)

efforts (13:25)

eitf (32:13)(32:16)(32:21)(32:24) (40:18)(46:16)(79:18)(79:22)

either (22:13)(23:21)(45:15)(52:18) (53:12)(53:15)(68:14)(73:25)(77:9)(156:2) (165:5)(171:6)(179:7)(189:14)(197:12)

electric (3:6)(28:25)(41:19)(174:5) (174:6)

electronic (28:13)(81:7)(106:14)

(124:24)

elizabeth (1:23)(4:7)(198:3)

ellis (4:16) else's (196:5)e-mail (69:13) e-mails (3:1) emerging (32:25)

employed (60:5)employee (44:18)

employees (44:11)(45:4)

empty (163:15)

end (2:15)(9:20)(14:2)(57:24)(66:5) (66:6)(73:5)(95:25)(96:2)(103:3)(103:5) (103:8)(103:11)(109:25)(110:7)(110:11) (110:25)(111:4)(112:5)(114:20)(115:19)

(130:8)(152:22)(152:24)(180:6)(184:23) (190:8)(195:12)(195:15)

ended (31:3) ends (30:18)(98:13) engage (158:9)(160:9)

engineering (78:22)(85:18)(85:23)

(175:23)(189:7)

engineers (86:3)(86:4) enough (9:2)(61:13)(151:20)

ensure (6:17)(24:8)(106:8)(113:15)

(173:22)ensuring (79:4)

entail (15:2) (182:21) entails entered (167:12) entering (120:8)

entire (63:24)(155:25)(169:4) entirely (102:25)(119:21)

entitled (153:7)(172:14)(189:22)(192:9) entry (62:17)(119:25)(141:19)(141:23)

(167:6)

environment (27:17)

equals (32:12)

equity (194:24)(195:6)(195:20)(196:13) erosion (118:23)(118:25)(119:2)

(119:9)(119:14)(119:18)

error (63:8) escapes (29:12)

essentially (13:14)(78:14)(162:8)

establish (9:4)

established (43:3)(113:1)

establishing (139:11)(139:17)(139:19)

estate (103:10)

estimate (82:6)(112:23)

(47:5)(86:23)(93:21) estimated evaluate (117:13)

evaluated (133:9)(177:15)

evaluates (178:18)

evaluating (133:13)(134:10)(139:15)

(139:19)(141:16) evaluation (57:2) everybody (103:2)

everyday (125:24) (91:3)everyone

everything (111:4)(120:9)

evidence (68:19)

exact (17:1)(19:16)(33:5)(47:15) (62:11)(76:6)(82:9)(105:11)(112:13) (112:17)(129:24)(132:20)(136:14)(164:7)

(176:21)(179:20) exactly (17:10)(29:17)(31:3)(37:23) (40:13)(47:23)(73:13)(102:20)(126:7)

(185:18)(188:24) exam (8:5)

examination (5:2)(198:20)

examining (5:17)(59:13)(63:12)(64:9) (70:17)(95:19)(117:23)(130:17)(141:2) (153:5)(156:24)(169:24)(172:6)(176:10)

(180:9)(182:13)(189:19)(192:3) example (15:5)(19:9)(23:12)(26:9)

(36:17)(36:20)(37:8)(37:11)(38:10)(41:12) (41:17)(41:18)(46:14)(48:21)(81:12)(98:7) (102:15)(106:10)(119:14)(119:22)

(123:19)(131:13)(136:6)(137:7)(151:25) (152:16)(153:17)(153:20)(161:4)(162:23) (164:14)(169:18)(186:2)(189:6)(194:3)

examples (21:25)(29:1)(41:13)(45:25) (46:9)(46:12)(53:12)(53:20)(161:8)(161:9)

(185:3)(185:16) except (102:20)

exceptions (197:3) excitement (158:4)(159:3)(159:18)

(160:6)exclude (88:10) excluding (101:1)

exclusive (48:15) exclusively (90:17)(90:18)(90:20)

(159:14)(181:23) execute (156:11) fall

executive (8:11)(26:15) exhibit (5:1)(5:15)(27:2)(37:12)(38:14)

(46:1)(49:23)(54:8)(59:12)(62:5)(63:9) (63:11)(64:7)(64:22)(65:3)(66:12)(70:15)

(72:11)(72:15)(73:11)(73:17)(75:10)

(75:14)(78:5)(79:10)(79:22)(83:9)(86:20) (92:24)(95:3)(95:17)(104:23)(116:17)

(116:19)(117:21)(125:8)(130:15)(131:24) (132:6)(137:13)(141:4)(141:7)(141:21)

(143:13)(143:19)(153:3)(156:22)(157:7) (157:8)(169:22)(170:24)(172:4)(172:8) (172:22)(172:24)(176:8)(180:7)(180:10)

(182:9)(182:10)(183:5)(185:17)(186:23) (189:17)(189:21)(192:2)(192:7)(192:9) exhibits (2:2)(26:25)(176:19)

exist (94:11)(100:20)(147:7)(148:14)

existed (35:4) existence (191:11)

existing (57:1)(119:1)(119:16)(125:13) (125:16)(127:5)(129:7)(130:9)(139:19) (140:15)(158:7)(164:21)(164:23)(165:2)

(174:23)(175:14)(175:18)(175:21)(192:15) expect (29:14)(49:13)(184:2)

expectations (162:4)

expected (114:5)(128:1)

expenses (37:7)(42:7)(44:1)(44:2) (46:20)(46:21)(49:22)(83:14)(84:4)(87:22)

(87:24)(88:1)(88:2)(89:1)

experience (26:19)(50:25)(129:7)

expert (49:14)(94:16)(166:2) explain (65:24)(72:12)(117:25)(121:25)

exposure (76:18)

extension (19:6) extent (85:3)(148:5)(188:25)

external (97:5)(131:5)(169:4)

externally (80:10) extra (171:19)

extremely (14:25)(52:18)(52:21) eye (190:11)(190:13)(190:14)(194:17)

eyes (61:14)

face (44:20)(103:9)(140:24)(171:9)

facilitate (14:6)

facilities (13:4)(24:11)(80:18)

facility (9:9)(109:12) (51:11)facings

fact (16:23)(29:18)(35:3)(41:24)(48:16) (55:19)(68:23)(69:14)(69:22)(110:11)

(130:11)(176:15)(182:19)

fact-based (182:15)(182:20)(182:23)

(182:25)(183:4)(183:25)(185:8) factor (88:9)(123:13)(128:18)(194:20)

(194:21)

factors (19:7)(51:8)(51:9)(93:19) (123:10)(123:16)(129:4)

factory (29:5)(48:7)

facts (68:19)(182:21)(183:7)(185:7)

fail (178:12) faint (98:19)

fair (39:20)(51:2)(145:2)

fairly (151:18) faith (75:20)

fall (16:8)(16:9)(17:13)(17:24)(23:2)

geared

falls

(30:20)(89:13)(140:12) falls (85:8) familiar (15:10)(19:15)(57:10)(62:10) (142:19)familiarity (155:18) family (119:5) far (8:13)(19:2)(19:7)(19:11)(50:8) (50:9)fashion (37:17)(69:17)(70:3)(77:4) (138:20)(161:25) fathom (94:12) fax (192:5)(192:6) fcst (96:1) fda (171:20) feasibility (190:16)(190:17)(190:18) (190:19)(190:25)(191:17) feasible (190:20) feature (15:13)(62:11)(66:19)(66:20) (86:4)(128:18)(167:13)(168:1)(168:2) (168:19)(175:14)(194:5) featured (103:9) features (61:15)(66:22)(66:24)(67:2) (71:13)(113:25)(114:19)(115:16)(115:20) (122:13)(122:15)(123:21)(127:6)(127:23) (128:13)(128:25)(129:6)(129:20)(140:10) (145:1)(145:25)(162:2)(167:9)(174:20) (174:23)(175:5)(175:8)(175:25)(176:3) (180:16)(182:4)(185:20)(193:20)(194:2) (196:8)featuring (167:13) february (2:13)(153:23)(155:11) fee (44:6) feel (54:23)(70:21)(147:12) feeling (171:24) fellow (128:11) few (29:3)(51:13) fewer (100:6)(100:9) fifth (30:25) figure (15:20)(34:5)(72:1)(78:17) (79:23)(87:10) figures (60:19)(105:21) file (136:16) filter (162:19)(162:23)(162:25)(163:4) (177:5)final (43:7)(43:12)(140:13)(140:14) (177:9)finalizing (143:11) finance (12:13)(12:24)(13:1) financial (20:4)(21:7)(21:9)(21:11) (23:10)(24:15)(31:2)(32:16)(33:1)(36:16) (36:19)(68:6)(94:17)(104:17)(156:21) financials (6:16)(7:4)(152:2)(152:5) find (23:7)(48:12)(94:12)(131:11) finding (172:10)(172:11) findings (172:7) fine (101:8) finish (7:25) finished (8:3)(8:17) finite (111:19) fins (115:17) firm (124:3) firms (10:6)(131:11) first (4:21)(8:17)(8:18)(8:22)(14:20)

(16:21)(17:3)(18:13)(18:15)(27:6)(30:22)

(31:4)(33:18)(50:10)(59:8)(60:23)(68:24) (78:3)(78:20)(78:21)(79:7)(79:14)(86:20) (87:4)(97:12)(98:20)(125:10)(142:25) (157:23)(162:15)(163:3)(168:10)(189:21) (192:10)fiscal (2:4)(20:4)(20:5)(20:6)(20:11) (20:18)(20:20)(20:24)(30:8)(30:13)(30:15) (30:18)(33:10)(33:22)(39:17)(42:11) (42:12)(43:17)(43:21)(46:1)(47:6)(48:3) (59:11)(59:14)(60:25)(71:15)(72:7)(72:8) (72:10)(72:23) fit (98:11)(114:1)(114:7)(127:5)(146:2) (165:20)fits (127:25)(140:6)(140:7) (6:1)(6:5)(6:22)(30:21)(30:23) (78:6)(121:1)(166:17) fixed (67:1) flammability (83:6)flashlights (184:5)(188:19) flex (131:3) flip (70:16)(71:8)(86:20)(192:21) flow (18:25) fluid (75:23)(76:9)(76:22)(97:23) (162:19)(163:4)(163:8)(163:10)(163:13) (165:1)(165:13)(165:25)(166:12)(176:4) (176:5)(177:1)(177:7)(177:10) focus (70:19)(118:5)(174:1)(181:20) (194:22)(194:23)(194:24)(196:19) focused (90:15)(90:20)(187:24)(188:9) (188:10)(188:11)(196:9) focuses (195:21) foil (2:8)(2:9)(17:8)(17:9)(58:23) (60:20)(63:19)(64:2)(64:3)(64:4)(64:5) (66:23)(66:24)(66:25)(73:3)(94:19)(94:20) (104:9)(115:9)(115:11)(115:17)(115:23) (123:1)(123:2)(123:12)(131:3)(165:5) (182:11)(191:14)(191:22)(191:23)(192:1) (193:24)foils (63:23)(67:1)(104:3)(115:14) folks (136:25)(137:2)(138:19)(142:19) (149:18)(154:4)(188:21) folks' (188:5) followed (127:22)(133:1)(161:18) following (171:16) follows (4:23) follow-ups (151:25) foolish (129:15) force (9:21)(32:25)(44:21)(44:23) (45:2)(45:7)(50:23)(51:15)(100:17) (100:19)(101:1)(107:11)(117:5)(117:12) (117:14)(136:25)(149:21)(150:1)(150:4) (150:11)(150:24)(151:3)(153:11)(153:12) (153:18)(153:20)(153:22)(154:2)(154:3) (154:23)(156:9)(184:22)(184:23)(185:4) forecast (2:15)(50:11)(50:21)(50:22) (52:5)(52:23)(53:22)(54:2)(65:17)(67:14) (67:15)(95:25)(96:2) forecasted (52:25) forecasting (52:1)(67:21) forecasts (2:8)(2:9)(50:12)(50:13) (50:19)(51:17)(51:18)(51:23)(52:9)(53:16) (65:18)(67:11)(67:13)(67:17)(70:1)(77:21) (101:15)

foremost (14:20) forever (81:23) forget (185:25) form (2:19)(2:20)(14:23)(37:13)(37:14) (68:14)(124:19)(124:20)(126:15)(130:19) (130:20)(130:22)(131:2)(138:19)(149:5) (175:4)(176:14)(179:18) formal (15:7)(25:3)(25:4)(26:2)(26:3) (26:4)(75:1)(107:10)(129:10)(136:9) (136:13)(138:22)(146:23) formally (75:4)(138:16) format (36:14)(81:7)(157:6)(185:4) (185:18)forth (137:18)(140:5)(173:11)(185:24) (194:1)forty-four (192:8) forward (130:21)(165:16)(165:17) (194:10)found (34:22)(63:5)(69:6)(102:14) (159:16)four (6:22)(21:3)(30:21)(30:22)(104:8) (162:8)(162:12)(162:13) fourth (30:25) fpo (152:13)(152:18) frame (24:5)(38:9)(142:18)(162:3) free (28:24)(41:17)(70:21) freestanding (103:1)(159:7)(165:5) freight (44:4)(46:23)(46:24)(46:25) (47:2)(47:3)(80:25)(82:4)(82:5)(82:6) (82:9)(83:25)(84:6)(91:22) frequently (101:3)(101:5) friday (34:14)(35:12)(35:18)(35:20) (60:13)(87:20)(88:12)(88:20)(89:6)(92:1) (92:15)(104:11)(111:25) friday's (76:23) fritz (187:22) front (31:2)(36:3)(38:8)(38:12)(151:6) fruition (66:14) fsi (159:5)(159:6)(159:8) full (22:8)(44:15)(138:12) full-featured (67:7) fully (103:14)(128:16)(168:25)(169:2) function (10:11)(11:25)(82:10) (113:21)(180:20) functional (100:14) functionality (165:4) functions (45:1)(107:1)(107:7)(188:3) funds (46:12)(85:24)(90:11) fur (98:9)(98:10) further (8:4)(169:2)(197:10) future (24:3)(24:4)(43:14)(178:23) (190:2)fuzz-away (188:24) (32:17)(33:3)(41:14)(84:2) gain (193:9)(194:1) gains (195:7) gathered (56:25)

gathering (154:1)

(143:18)(179:24)

gear (133:11)

gave (41:18)(57:4)(88:20)(136:21)

geared (36:1)(67:21)(137:16)(156:8)

general

(174:1)

grow (118:21)

growth (118:4)(118:6)(118:13)

(191:19)general (16:14)(23:23)(24:2)(30:1) (90:12)(91:23)(105:15)(111:11)(127:19) (132:12)(152:15)(174:7)(192:9)(194:12) generally (19:11)(21:20)(44:13)(45:15) (50:22)(52:15)(61:17)(65:18)(66:4)(67:18) (78:24)(78:25)(79:3)(98:13)(101:15) (103:3)(103:7)(103:9)(105:5)(105:8) (105:9)(106:17)(110:23)(113:10)(115:11) (115:12)(127:11)(151:15)(151:23)(153:1) (153:2)(154:21)(196:6)(197:2) generate (34:6)(73:11)(77:13)(87:13) (101:3)(101:9)(101:11)(159:17)(160:6) generated (24:12)(34:9)(73:6)(79:12) (79:15)germany (13:11) gets (36:5)(120:15)(182:25) gifted (31:25) given (26:19)(50:15)(50:17)(55:12) (81:21)(82:7)(86:15)(106:7)(130:4) (131:12)(134:3)(143:20)(154:19)(168:7) (174:8)(176:19)(176:20)(184:14)(185:9) (185:14)(190:3)(190:5)(195:9) gives (32:5)(109:14) giving (160:3) gmbh (1:4)(4:3) goal (14:21) goals (169:7)(169:11) (119:3)(171:12)(184:25) gone good (5:4)(5:5)(41:12)(52:15)(65:23) (66:1)(67:4)(71:10)(104:20)(107:7) (116:11)(118:10)(118:11)(118:13) (118:15)(118:16)(122:14)(143:20)(144:2) (184:14)(184:18)(185:7) goods (19:19)(19:24)(29:8)(29:9) (31:23)(37:3)(37:6)(40:20)(40:21)(41:7) (41:22)(44:9)(46:17)(81:1)(82:7)(82:15) (83:13)(87:10)(87:18)(132:16) gosh (121:3) gotten (24:4) government (171:13) graduate (8:8) graduation (8:6) granted (24:9) granularity (86:6)(91:24) gray (4:13) great (184:19)(187:17) greg (87:8) groomer (188:22) grooming (16:18)(68:11)(97:20)(98:12) gross (31:8)(31:9)(31:14)(31:18)(36:4) (37:6)(40:25)(41:3)(43:25)(46:18)(71:18) (72:1)(75:15)(75:16)(88:17)(88:19)(88:23) (88:25)grounds (92:22)(179:15) group (16:13)(29:20)(51:20)(54:3) (65:25)(94:21)(94:23)(99:19)(101:16) (149:18)(153:19)(181:20) grouping (89:18)(99:6)(99:7)(99:25) (101:2)groups (15:19)(44:19)(106:7)(125:1)

guess (14:18)(15:16)(16:3)(25:4)(37:4) (42:17)(50:13)(61:11)(71:1)(71:8)(81:11) (83:22)(86:18)(96:3)(96:15)(99:14) (100:16)(112:10)(118:24)(123:9)(132:22) (133:20)(134:1)(146:13)(149:1)(150:5) (182:25)guessing (20:12) guidelines (107:20) Н hair (184:5) hairs (115:17)half (11:19)(15:8)(154:16)(189:24) halfway (8:14) hand (155:3) handle (15:1) handled (21:20)(21:23) handout (154:19) handouts (185:9) happen (76:5)(82:23)(98:22)(106:24) happened (53:6)(63:3)(160:25)(161:2) (161:4) happening (137:17)(184:3) happens (107:16)(153:13) happy (43:1)(54:17)(55:1) hard (20:17)(30:19)(154:22)(155:4) (186:7)hasn't (77:20) having your (44:18) head (57:20)(77:2)(77:10)(111:14) (112:21)(123:8)(128:16)(165:22) header (192:5)(192:6) heading (118:1)(191:20) headings (46:3) headquarters (9:17)(12:8) heads (165:1)(187:23) hear (119:1)(119:2)(119:3)(145:12) (149:22)heard (184:1) hearing (9:12)(68:12) heavily (48:17) heavy (77:20)(196:12) heavy-duty (68:11) heck (100:18)(139:4) help (14:4)(14:6)(20:3)(32:22)(59:12) (99:20)(135:15)(141:21)(184:6)(184:9) helpful (35:15)(99:21) her (101:22)(185:23)(188:14) hereby (198:4) he's (50:8)(127:18) hey (102:19)(149:19) hierarchy (39:4) high (7:23)(77:15)(112:5)(144:10) (168:16)(168:21)(168:22)(168:24)(169:5)

i'm history (7:22)(18:14)(176:7) **hit** (96:5)(122:16)(132:18)(140:11) hoc (74:6)(74:25)(101:9) hold (33:25)(108:3)(141:1) holds (27:15)(149:1) (164:25)(165:12)(165:17) holes (165:22)(165:25)(166:5)(166:12) holiday (19:9)(19:10)(19:14)(120:11) (120:19)(159:17)(159:18)(160:12) home (31:25)(98:4)(154:24)(155:6) honestly (111:21)(157:15) honor (109:25) hours (6:22)house (27:14)(124:12) household (171:8) hovis (170:16) however (27:16)(49:1)(60:15)(74:15) (76:6)(107:25)(117:9) hts (82:10) huge (77:19)(103:16) human (63:8) hundred (100:4)(100:6)(126:18) hurry (100:18) hypothetically (193:21)icl (46:3) idea (59:24)(74:3)(98:25)(114:8) (114:10)(129:16)(132:17)(132:19)

(132:21)(132:23)(133:21)(167:23) (167:24)(189:11)(189:12)(189:16) ideas (189:6)(189:7)(189:9) identification (5:1)(26:25)(49:23) (54:8)(64:7)(64:22)(70:15)(73:17)(78:5) (92:24)(95:3)(95:17)(97:10)(104:23) (116:17)(130:15)(141:7)(153:3)(156:22) (169:22)(172:4)(180:7)(189:17)(192:2) identified (19:17)(37:8)(40:12)(175:3) (182:3)identifier (102:17) identify (17:15)(17:17)(17:19)(69:3) (99:20)(102:1)(112:4)(151:17)(175:25) ilc (31:4)(31:6)(32:10)(32:11)(32:12) (40:17)(72:3) i'll (17:19)(69:15)(152:15)(152:17) (178:12)(192:4)(196:8) illegal (126:14) illinois (4:16) i'm (5:15)(8:10)(11:1)(13:6)(16:5) (16:15)(16:19)(19:15)(20:12)(20:13)(23:1) (23:6)(25:8)(25:17)(26:2)(33:2)(33:4) (34:21)(34:24)(38:20)(40:3)(43:1)(46:23) (49:6)(53:20)(53:25)(54:1)(54:17)(54:20) (54:22)(55:1)(57:10)(57:16)(57:18)(58:9) (58:20)(59:5)(62:4)(62:10)(62:21)(63:11) (64:5)(68:13)(69:22)(70:9)(70:12)(71:15) (72:25)(74:1)(74:10)(74:25)(75:2)(78:25) (80:11)(81:11)(82:19)(83:22)(84:21) (86:17)(89:24)(92:20)(92:23)(96:4)(98:3) (99:15)(99:16)(103:19)(104:14)(106:13) (107:10)(110:8)(111:22)(121:3)(122:23) (127:19)(128:9)(131:8)(131:15)(132:4) (133:16)(134:18)(134:21)(137:9)(140:19) (141:3)(142:19)(142:24)(145:15)(146:14)

(171:25)

high-end (172:17)(182:11)

(130:3)(130:8)(156:14)

highlight (155:24)

higher (53:15)(57:24)(61:20)(61:22)

(67:8)(110:3)(110:6)(110:14)(110:15)

highest (111:9)(111:11)(169:3)

highlighted (183:5)(183:8)

historically (77:19)(146:1)

(115:11)(115:12)(123:9)(123:11)(123:14)

imagine

(146:15)(148:24)(148:25)(150:13) (152:16)(155:22)(159:25)(160:24) (162:12)(162:13)(163:1)(163:8)(165:18) (166:1)(166:9)(167:17)(167:20)(168:15) (169:13)(172:2)(175:24)(179:6)(179:19) (179:23)(180:17)(182:9)(186:4)(187:19) (192:9)(197:4) imagine (81:17)(167:1) immaterial (93:23) immediately (152:2) impact (93:22)(148:18)(148:19) (148:21)(152:2)(193:25) impacted (165:24) implementation (11:17) implicitly (178:7) important (171:21)(171:23)(174:23) (193:23)(194:4)(195:1) impossible (40:13)(82:8) improve (52:14)(175:18)(175:19) improved (183:12)(183:13) improvement (52:13) improvements (183:16)(183:18) inappropriate (22:8)(84:2) include (34:7)(41:13)(44:3)(45:22) (47:2)(48:11)(48:12)(60:15)(80:24)(90:7) (97:23)(137:24)(165:17) included (22:5)(23:7)(23:9)(30:5) (35:25)(40:24)(46:3)(48:13)(60:12)(62:1) (80:13)(83:9)(83:16)(84:8)(87:19)(87:21) (88:8)(90:3)(90:5)(92:2)(93:10)(93:11) (93:12)(93:13)(93:15)(101:16)(115:16) (147:21)(148:11)(155:12)(155:21) (162:10)(176:1)(191:5)(191:24)(191:25) includes (36:10)(48:20)(85:2)(89:6) (104:16)(153:18)(154:1)(162:9) including (13:18)(68:7)(108:11) inconspicuous (97:9) incorporate (102:2)(137:19)(139:8) (162:8)(175:9) incorrectly (113:14) increase (195:6) increased (158:11) independent (14:2)(124:3)(128:16) (131:10)indicate (18:14)(28:9)(59:18)(61:4) (61:5)(176:14) indicated (35:2)(45:11)(101:15) (166:10)(172:7)(186:25) indicates (30:7)(48:19)(50:10)(55:18) (108:12)(170:1) indicating (54:11)(65:5)(101:12) (135:12)(147:3) indication (19:22)(51:2)(51:6)(73:23) (95:10)(130:22)(144:15) indirectly (56:14)(56:18) individual (27:18)(35:18)(82:9)(85:14) (86:17)(91:1)(94:14)(109:3)(132:20) (132:23)(147:12)(155:23)(161:11) individually (45:16)(82:8)(163:2) (163:3)(163:6) individuals (26:7)(71:22)(84:12) (101:19)(101:21)(132:13)(135:18) (135:20)(138:7)(142:10)(142:14) industry (158:9)(158:14)(158:17)

(182:20)(195:2) infirm (101:7) informal (129:12)(129:14)(129:17) information (23:2)(23:18)(25:14) (25:15)(27:6)(27:8)(27:16)(27:20)(36:2) (36:6)(36:8)(36:22)(36:23)(36:25)(37:1) (38:11)(38:12)(42:3)(42:5)(43:13)(48:10) (56:25)(66:25)(69:1)(69:8)(69:13)(69:16) (69:20)(70:4)(71:23)(74:3)(74:7)(75:14) (77:5)(85:17)(96:24)(101:9)(104:13) (104:18)(108:16)(111:14)(111:16) (117:15)(124:1)(124:6)(124:8)(124:9) (138:14)(149:20)(155:17)(155:21)(156:4) (156:9)(156:13)(157:7)(174:12)(184:23) (185:18)(185:19)(186:17)(186:18)(186:22) informed (149:22)(150:1)(150:11) informing (150:3)(150:14) infringement (175:12)(180:4) initial (35:24)(36:1)(113:14)(189:6) (189:7)(189:9)(189:11) initially (60:7)(65:15) initiate (58:13) initiative (122:8)(146:16)(149:7) (151:17)(159:20) initiatives (118:5)(122:4)(147:6) injunction (149:2) input (50:24)(51:16)(108:19)(177:16) inserts (159:7) inside (6:14)(28:24)(29:1)(131:5) (131:14)(168:11)(179:7) insight (193:9) instance (168:23) instant (160:4) instead (160:3)instruct (84:21)(92:21)(148:7)(177:24) (178:16)instruction (178:10) integral (131:4)(163:16) integrally (164:2)(164:10) integration (13:24)(14:16) intent (156:1)(181:9)(181:11)(195:19) intention (56:6)(193:25) interchange (106:14) interchangeably (137:10) interest (2:19)(2:20)(168:21)(194:1) (198:12)interested (71:15)(75:15)(127:19) (170:2)(170:25)(171:3)(191:2) interface (44:23) internal (24:18)(39:1)(96:25)(97:3) (97:10)internally (25:23)(25:25)(80:5) international (4:13) interrogatories (198:10) interrupted (14:22) introduce (56:10)(61:8)(64:1)(119:20) (158:3)(158:7) introduced (66:5)(121:14)(122:12) (122:21)(123:18)(123:20)(164:15)(165:6) introducing (158:12) introduction (55:14)(113:8)(119:25) (122:18)(123:12)(127:1)(134:10)(141:17)

kevin introductions (120:4) inventory (19:21)(25:20)(28:6)(50:20) (51:19)(51:20)(51:21)(51:22)(52:3)(52:10) (54:3)(101:16) invite (147:10) invoice (31:6)(31:17)(31:18)(31:19) (97:4)(105:11)(109:10)(110:3)(110:14) (111:1)(111:25)(114:6) invoiced (107:6)(107:24) involved (15:22)(23:5)(54:1)(56:10) (56:14)(58:10)(58:11)(78:25)(79:2) (100:24)(128:3)(128:12)(135:18)(135:19) (138:7)(142:11)(142:15)(142:17)(143:4) (143:8)(143:11)(154:10)(154:12)(154:14) (161:24)(164:3)(166:21)(166:23)(167:1) (167:3)(177:9)(177:23)(178:4)(178:5) (178:7)(178:15)(180:3)(180:5)(180:17) (180:19)involvement (67:25) issue (17:15)(37:9)(80:15)(80:17) (152:3)issues (32:25)(151:1)(151:2)(151:9) (151:13)(151:23)(152:7)(152:11) item (19:19)(41:17)(107:18)(107:21) (107:25)(108:1)(113:1)(131:12)(151:9) (172:8)items (36:14)(36:17)(36:21)(37:4) (37:14)(41:10)(44:3)(63:23)(91:15)(98:4) (103:12)(105:1)(126:25) its (81:23)(107:23)(118:11)(158:22) (160:22)(169:11)(169:14)(169:18) (175:10)(195:23) itself (97:7)(97:8)(115:18)(136:8) (163:11)(164:25) i've (129:13)

J january (20:23)(122:1)(122:9)(122:24)

izumi (82:17)(82:20)

jay (128:11)(142:17)
jeff (170:16)(170:18)
jenny (135:16)
jetted (165:1)
jim (6:16)(134:23)(134:24)(135:3)
(135:8)(135:17)(136:22)(138:20)(142:16)
(157:20)(162:5)(170:20)(181:4)(186:16)
job (8:17)(8:18)(9:16)(13:13)(99:10)
(188:1)
john (187:22)
july (21:2)(78:23)(79:6)(122:1)(122:9)
(122:24)(123:1)
jump (100:18)(122:11)
june (2:21)(20:25)

K

kasdorff (54:7)(101:22) katie (187:21)(188:16) katz (142:22)(142:23)(142:24)(143:7) (170:13) keeping (194:12)(194:14) kent (150:7)(150:8)(150:23) kernen (1:23)(4:7)(198:3) kevin (4:15)(6:18)(6:19)(6:23)(7:13) (33:24)(35:9)

(179:8)(181:15)(196:23)